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The Process of CEO Dismissal: An Exploratory study

Satu Koskinen

Abstract

The decision to change the CEO is one of the most important decisions made by the Board of Directors in a company, and it has long-term implications for the company’s operating, financing and investment decisions (Huson et al., 2001). CEO dismissal, i.e. the forced departure of the CEO from her/his position (Fredrickson et al., 1988), has been described as the Board’s ultimate tool for exercising control over management (Mizruchi, 1983), or shaping the ‘context, content and conduct’ of strategy (McNulty & Pettigrew, 1999, p. 66). From the perspective of the Board, the dismissal of the CEO is likely to be among one of the most difficult situations (e.g. Frisch & Huppenbauer, 2014). The dismissal decision and process involve various emotions, such as guiltiness, empathy and care as well as tensions between ethical and economic objectives (Lämsä & Takala, 2000; Martelius-Louniala, 2017).

The topic of CEO succession, i.e., either voluntary or non-voluntary CEO turnover, has been the object of a lot of research interest for several decades (Cragun et al., 2016). The vast majority of previous CEO turnover studies have drawn on quantitative data on large, listed US companies; qualitative studies and studies conducted in non-US contexts and in smaller companies are scarce (Eriksson et al., 2001; Brickley, 2003; Hilger et al., 2013; Cragun et al., 2016). As regards specifically CEO dismissal studies, the main focus has been on the possible antecedents of CEO dismissal, such as company performance and CEO power, and the studies have largely been based on publicly available data (e.g. Huson et al., 2001; Hilger et al., 2013). However, the full reasons for CEO turnover are rarely made public (e.g. Fredrickson et al., 1988; Denis & Denis, 1995; Pitcher et al., 2000; Florou, 2005; Schwartz-Ziv & Weisbach, 2013). Additionally, previous studies have seldom had access to the detailed story of a turnover process (Pitcher et al., 2000; Finkelstein et al., 2009), so the actual process leading to dismissal has largely remained unexplored (Pettigrew & McNulty, 1998; Cragun et al., 2016).

In most cases, CEO dismissal is initiated and decided by the Board of Directors (e.g. Huson et al., 2001; Hilger et al., 2013) and, in the governance structure of CEO non-duality (i.e., where the roles of the CEO and Chair are separate), which is a common governance model in Europe (Huse, 2007), it has been argued that CEO dismissal is the task of the Chair of the Board (Roberts, 2002). Nevertheless, although the Chair is argued to have an influential position in Board decision-making in practice (e.g. Gabrielsson et al., 2007; Bailey & Peck, 2013), the role and leadership of the Chair in the process of CEO dismissal has received very little research attention. In addition to access difficulties (Pettigrew, 1992), one reason may be the heavy emphasis on US samples and the dominant governance model there of CEO duality (i.e., the combined role of CEO and Chair) (e.g. Krause et al., 2014).

Poor company performance, for example in terms of profitability, sales growth, or stock returns, has been found in numerous studies to increase the likelihood of CEO dismissal, but performance has been found to explain dismissal only partially (see e.g. Finkelstein et al., 2009; Hilger et al., 2013; Cragun et al., 2016). It has been suggested that attributions made by the Board have an impact on the CEO dismissal decision and mediate the relationship between performance and dismissal (e.g. Fredrickson et al., 1988; Haleblian & Rajagopalan, 2006; He & Fang, 2016). The Board may be constrained in a variety of ways in its decision-making concerning CEO dismissal, and understanding these constraints is pivotal (Schaffer, 2002).

The aim of this research is to increase understanding of the process which leads to a Board’s decision to dismiss the CEO under conditions of poor company performance. Additionally, the role of the Chair in this process on account of her/his central role in Board work will be explored (e.g. Roberts, 2002; Gabrielsson et al., 2007; Bailey & Peck, 2013). As it has been proposed that attributions, i.e. causal ascriptions applied to a positive...
or negative outcome (Martinko et al., 2007), play an important role in understanding a leader’s responses to poor performance, the study draws on the literature on attributional processes (e.g. Green & Mitchell, 1979; Martinko et al., 2007) to examine the CEO dismissal process. The study also contributes to the literature by adding to the limited amount of empirical research on the role of the Chair of the Board (e.g. Roberts, 2002; Gabrielson et al., 2007; McNulty et al., 2011), particularly in the CEO dismissal process, and by pointing out fruitful avenues for further research on the topic. By focusing on Finnish companies of various sizes and types of ownership, the study addresses the shortage of studies of CEO dismissal in contexts other than major, listed, US companies (Crayton et al., 2016).

The context of the current empirical study is Finland. According to the Limited Liability Companies Act of Finland (Osakeyhtiölaki 624/2006), the appointment and dismissal of the CEO are among the responsibilities of the Board, which is appointed by the General Meeting. The Chair of the Board is elected by the Board, unless decided otherwise when the Board is appointed or the Articles of Association provide otherwise. Under the Companies Act, the powers of the Chair do not differ from those of other Board Directors, although she/he is responsible for seeing that the Board meets when necessary and has a casting vote in the event of a tie. It is the CEO’s duty to manage the company as instructed by the Board, and to provide the Board with the information it needs to carry out its responsibilities.

Theoretical framework

Attributional processes in the context of leadership

Attributional processes have been argued to play an important role in understanding the dynamics of leadership processes and leader-subordinate interactions (Green & Mitchell, 1979). It has been argued too that attributions account for a significant amount of the variance in leadership behaviours, particularly as regards the evaluation of performance made by leaders, and the outcomes of this evaluation (e.g. Green & Mitchell, 1979; Martinko et al., 2007). When viewed from the perspective of leadership, the CEO-Board and CEO-Chair relationships can be argued to have some extraordinary characteristics. These include the Board’s diverse tasks of control, service and strategy (e.g. Zahra & Pearce, 1989), as well as the Board’s dependence on the information provided by the CEO when carrying out its duties (e.g. Hooghiemstra & Van Manen, 2004; Bailey & Peck, 2013). Even if responsibility for supervising and dismissing the CEO lies with the Board as a collective, in the governance structure of CEO non-duality the Chair typically works more closely with the CEO than do the rest of the Board (e.g. Roberts, 2002; Kakabadse et al., 2006; Koskinen & Lämsä, 2016). Moreover, prior research has suggested a strong interdependency between the roles of CEO and Chair (Stewart, 1991).

The current study draws upon a model of the attributional process of leaders in leader-subordinate interactions proposed by Green & Mitchell (1979). According to this model, the reaction of a leader to poor performance is a two-stage process. First, a leader, such as the Chair (with the whole Board), aims to understand the reasons for the performance and to make attributions primarily to either internal (e.g. the ability or effort of the CEO) or external (e.g. market situation) causes. It has been argued that in this process, what is most important is information concerning the distinctiveness and consistency of the poor performance as well as how far there is consensus on the subject (Kelley, 1973). Second, an appropriate response, e.g. CEO dismissal or some other corrective measure, is selected to address the issue. It has been suggested that the leader’s response to poor performance is affected by her/his attributions: for example, when failure is attributed to the subordinate’s lack of effort, the response of the leader may be more negative than in the case of an attribution of lack of ability (Weiner & Kukla, 1970; Green & Mitchell, 1979).

According to the model of Green and Mitchell (1979), certain moderators, such as empathy and the closeness of the leader’s relationship with the subordinate, influence the attribution process, and contextual constraints or organisational policies may further influence the responses of the leader. Attributional biases, such as self-serving bias and actor-observer bias, have also been argued to affect the leader’s evaluations of performance (e.g. Green & Mitchell, 1979; Martinko et al., 2007). Self-serving bias refers to individuals’ tendency to take credit for success but blame others for failure. In the context of leadership, actor-observer bias refers to the tendency of leaders to explain the failure of their subordinate, in this study, the CEO, by reference to internal causes when they interpret their own role as that of observer, but when they are in a psychologically close relationship with their subordinate and interpret their own role as an actor, they may be more likely to attribute failure to external causes (Green & Mitchell, 1979). Schaffer (2002) suggested that when assessing CEO performance, inside Directors in particular may be constrained by their stronger connection to the CEO and self-serving biases, whereas outside Directors are likely to have constraints of time and of the scope, detail and accuracy of the information available to them, and may have lower levels of commitment to the organisation. A study that examined dyads working on tasks with interdependent outcomes found a self-serving bias in dyads that were relationally distant: the dyadic partners in distant relationships took credit for success for themselves but blamed the other partner for failure (Sedikides et al., 1998).

Research on CEO dismissal and the role of the Chair

It has been argued that CEO dismissal is particularly challenging for the Board for at least three reasons: a lack of independence, unclear performance evaluation standards, and pressures attached to the dismissal decision process (Hilger et al., 2013). It has been proposed that particularly the information asymmetry that works in the CEO’s favour complicates the task (e.g. Boivie et al., 2016).

Pettigrew and McNulty (1998, p. 206) note that in the literature, poor performance is assumed to be ‘a necessary, if not a sufficient, condition for CEO dismissal’. In addition to poor performance, several other factors, particularly related to CEO or Board power, have been proposed to either increase the possibility of CEO dismissal or to help the CEO remain in position despite poor performance (e.g. Frendrickson et al., 1988; Huson et al., 2001; Brickley, 2003; Hilger et al., 2013). Poor industry performance has been argued to significantly increase the likelihood of CEO dismissal, but peer performance has only minor effects on CEOs who perform well (Jenter & Kanaan, 2015). In a survey conducted among venture capitalists, CEO failure in the area of strategic leadership was found to lead to dismissal (Bruton et al., 1997).

Attributions, expectations, interpretation and deviations from forecasts have been argued to impact CEO dismissal (e.g. Frendrickson et al., 1988; Puffer & Weintrop, 1991; Farrel & Whidbee, 2003; Halsebian & Rajagopalan, 2006; Lee et al., 2012; He & Fang, 2016). Frendrickson et al. (1988) present a model of CEO dismissal that does not assume that the Board’s...
decision is totally rational, even if the Board may view it as such, but is also influenced by political and social forces. They suggest that the link between CEO dismissal and company performance is not direct but is mediated by the Board’s expectations and attributions, allegiances and values, the availability of alternative CEOs, and the power of the incumbent CEO. Halebian and Rajagopalan (2006) developed a cognitive model of CEO dismissal, proposing that the Board’s composition, perception and attribution of performance, and efficacy assessment of the CEO all impact on the CEO dismissal decision. It has also been proposed that the Board’s process of attribution may be influenced by contextual factors, such as market conditions and industry performance (Sun & Shin, 2014).

In terms of power and influence, the replacement of the CEO has been argued to be one of the key tasks of a non-CEO Chair of the Board (McNulty et al., 2011), and the Chairperson’s ultimate responsibility (Roberts, 2002). Forced CEO and Chair changes may be intertwined (e.g. Eriksson et al., 2001; Florou, 2005), and prior research has reported higher Chair turnover following a company’s operating loss (Firth et al., 2006; Maury, 2005). It has also been suggested that the failure of the CEO is seen as a sign that the Board, including the Chair, has also failed (Eriksson et al., 2001; Wiersema, 2002), and the likelihood of the Chairperson’s being replaced has been proposed to be particularly high when the Chair has been involved in the appointment of the dismissed CEO (Florou, 2005).

Method

The empirical data of the study consist of narrative, open-ended interviews with seven Finnish (non-CEO) Chairpersons who retrospectively describe the process that led to the dismissal of a CEO. A narrative interview refers here to a contextual, sequential account of events and of people acting in a certain setting, told by the respondent as she/he has experienced them (e.g. Bruner, 1991; Söderberg, 2003).

Chairpersons who were assumed or known to have personal experience of CEO dismissal in their role as Chair were contacted personally by the author via e-mail, phone or face-to-face, to ask for permission to interview them. All the Chairpersons who were contacted agreed to join the study. The respondents were guaranteed full anonymity, and to ensure this it was agreed that the interview data would not be presented as separate cases. The respondents were also allowed to refrain from using company or CEO names in the interview if they preferred this.

All the Chairpersons interviewed were aged between 53 and 69, held an academic degree, and had extensive experience in senior executive positions and Board work. One of them was female, the others were male. The sample included Chairpersons from both small and large companies, and in terms of ownership included family-owned, listed, state-owned and private equity companies. All respondents were outside Directors, and none of them worked full-time, was a previous CEO, or a majority shareholder in the company in which the dismissal occurred.

A week before the scheduled interview an e-mail was sent to the respondents explaining the purpose of the interview and its narrative, open-ended nature. The interviewees were told that they would be expected to describe the whole process leading to a CEO dismissal in their own words: the first signs of problems, how the process developed, what kind of issues contributed to the dismissal decision, and how long the process lasted. The respondents were reminded of the anonymity of the interview and informed that, unless they disapproved, the interview would be audio-recorded. All the interviews, which were between 40 and 85 minutes in length, were in fact audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim for analysis. The respondents were encouraged to describe events as they had experienced them, and extra questions were asked during the interview to get more detailed information. In four interviews, one process was covered in great detail, and in three interviews, a total of seven dismissals were covered on a slightly less detailed level. As most respondents had a lot of experience of CEO dismissals, many of them referred to or briefly described a number of other CEO dismissals they had participated in. In what follows, we focus on processes in which poor performance, e.g. in terms of company profitability or the level of return on investment, was described as playing a crucial role in the Board’s decision to dismiss the CEO. Six respondents narrated one, and one respondent three such processes in her/his interview.

During the iterative analysis process, the theoretical literature and empirical data were continuously linked together. First, the transcriptions of the interviews were read through many times and then the data were coded in order to extract phrases, sentences and sections in which the respondents described how the situation and the CEO were evaluated, and how they, together with the whole Board, responded to the poor performance. These extracts were then further analysed in order to understand their significance in the dismissal process. Typically, the respondents did not distinguish between the CEO’s results and the company’s results but the financial performance of the company served as a key measure and basis for evaluating the CEO.

In what follows, we start with the reasons why poor performance was attributed mainly to the CEO rather than, for example, to external factors. After this, we present the responses of the Chair and the Board to the poor performance of the CEO, as described by the Chairpersons in the interviews. We also describe the kinds of problems or constraints mentioned by the Chairpersons as having to be overcome by them or the whole Board in the course of the CEO dismissal process.

Findings

Understanding the reasons for poor performance

In six, i.e. the majority, of our nine dismissal processes, the dismissal had been preceded by recent Chair turnover. In other words, the Chair had taken up their position less than a year before the Board made the decision to dismiss the CEO and had been aware beforehand of the poor company performance. In one case, when recruiting the Chair, the owners had been very open about their desire to change the CEO, but said they had not managed to do this because of the CEO’s substantial share in the ownership of the company. One Chair commented that the CEO had been her/his subordinate several years earlier in another organisation and in his opinion was ‘not a CEO type-of-person at all’, and another CEO was said to have a poor reputation.

Typically, the Chairpersons who had recently taken up the position described their first impressions of the CEO as being rather negative and critical, saying, for example, ‘...in my opinion, the numbers were totally out of control, the CEO would prattle on about trivial matters, and rapid change was needed.’ Another Chair spoke about her/his initial feelings after the first Board meetings she/he had chaired: ‘From the very beginning it felt as if there were other forces guiding the process, e.g. from the beginning it felt as if there were other forces guiding the CEO rather than the man himself. I mean, he didn’t have his own vision of what the firm was about to become, maybe it
wasn’t thought through thoroughly enough.’

The new Chairpersons attributed poor performance very largely to a combination of many different internal, CEO-related reasons rather than to external causes or particular, isolated, events. These included, for example, not working closely enough with customers, failing to meet her/his own forecasts, having nominated the wrong people to join her/his team, being a weak leader, and lacking leadership, organising and strategic skills. Poor performance was also said to have continued for too long, as for example ‘Five years of continual losses’, and in most of our cases the new Chairpersons indicated that in their view, their predecessor had failed to address the issue. Even if external causes, such as a drastic change in the market or the collapse of the tech bubble, were also mentioned as reasons for poor performance, the fact that they had such negative consequences for the company was largely attributed to the failure of the CEO to lead the company to adapt to the changes rapidly and decisively enough. In addition, new Board Directors were described as seeing the situation in the same way as the recently started Chair and supporting her/him.

In one case in which the CEO was newly appointed, the Chair said that the Board and she/he had worked particularly closely together with the CEO, and that the CEO’s failure to act as agreed with the Chair in weekly discussions led to her/his dismissal well before the end of the CEO’s first year of tenure. In this very small company the Chair had soon found it necessary to give detailed instructions to the CEO but was met with a total lack of response: ‘Nothing ever happened!’

In the two processes in which both the CEO and the Chair had been in their positions for several years before the dismissal, the Chairpersons said that they and the rest of the Board had reacted to the deteriorating situation much too slowly, only after a drastic fall in financial results. In both these processes the respondents referred to environmental conditions as a major reason for the Board’s late reaction. In retrospect, one Chair admitted that in her/his opinion the Board had missed the signs of a radically changing market and the inadequate response of the company to these changes: ‘We were so late reacting, I mean, when the results plummeted, then we changed the CEO.’

In this case, poor company performance resulted in very strong pressure from the shareholders to dismiss the CEO. The Chair still expressed appreciation of the CEO, but admitted that he was just not the right man for the job in the current situation: ‘I mean, even if the guy was really good, the CEO, but he was born for rapid growth, marketing, brave moves, when he should have started streamlining and cutting costs here and there. So, then, it was just that a different kind of guy was needed.’

In the other process, despite growing concerns about the CEO’s strategic and leadership skills, as well as her/his lack of response and openness with the Board and the Chair, the Board had not taken action to dismiss the CEO on account of her/his long history of satisfactory financial performance. What makes this process particularly interesting is that, looking back on it, the Chair said that she/he realised that a major reason for the good financial performance during the last year before the dismissal had been very exceptional weather. Although this was obviously more a matter of chance than of CEO performance, it led the Board to dismiss their concerns and persist in believing that the CEO was still performing well and would continue to do so in the future. The resulting good financial performance saved the CEO that year, but his dismissal happened a year later when there was a drastic fall in financial performance. In retrospect, the Chair said that she/he thought the Board should have taken action more quickly: ‘But his track record was good, and the results were… I, too, thought that we wouldn’t right away change a guy who has delivered good results every year. Achieved by whatever means. That was the sort of idea, but it was wrong. In retrospect. Should’ve acted sooner.’

This last case also shows how important company financial performance can be in ‘saving’ a CEO from dismissal. Its dominance over other issues is also highlighted in a more general comment from another, very senior Chair: ‘I’ll put up with a lot from a CEO who’s getting results.’ And she/he later continued: ‘But then, of course, if the results don’t come, all these other things come to matter hugely.’ The ‘other things’ the Chair was referring to were, for example, the CEO’s arrogance, lack of openness, and reluctance to listen to the Board’s advice.

Responding to poor performance
Chairpersons described how, as a response to poor performance, the Board had made initiatives to improve performance but the CEO had not followed them up as firmly or as quickly as the Board had expected. This lack of response and sense of urgency on the part of the CEO was narrated as having contributed to the dismissal decision. For example, one Chair commented on a Board’s dissatisfaction with its CEO’s lack of response when called upon to put forward proposals to revise company strategy: ‘He didn’t seem to understand that this is, then, really, really important, this strategic work.’ Moreover, the CEO’s failure to change the top managers in the company, particularly the CFO, as proposed and required by the Board, was mentioned by several respondents as having contributed to the CEO’s own dismissal. One Chair said that the Board had made a decision to downsize the personnel but realised later that the CEO had taken no action on it. ‘The Chair said this was the last straw’: ‘That even when the results are bad, instructions are still not followed.’ In another case the Board was described as having initiated action that they considered very important, for example for improving health and safety issues in the company, although it would not have directly enhanced performance, and the CEO’s lack of action on the issue was described as having greatly annoyed the Board and as contributing to the dismissal decision.

Additionally, Chairpersons reported that the CEO’s failure to propose measures to improve performance had been criticised by the Board. As described by one Chair: ‘...a CEO cannot come and ask the Board what we should do next. It has to be the other way round: that he has his own, clear views to defend when presenting them to the Board’. Chairpersons also said that they had tried to give feedback to the CEO in dyadic discussions to better align her/his action with the Board’s expectations, but to little effect. One Chair, for example, said: ‘We had that discussion going on for a full six months, I mean, I really tried there, I tried hard to get the message across.’

In many cases, this lack of initiative and effort on the part of the CEO to proactively lead changes was described as a misfit between her/him and what the Board perceived as necessary to improve performance. The need for a different kind of leadership and the consequent misfit was explained as arising, for example, from a steep fall in the market, desired strategic change, or the stage of development in the life cycle of the company.

Overcoming constraints
According to the respondents, in order to understand the reasons for poor performance and decide upon appropriate responses, more information was needed than was received through regular Board meetings and reports. Chairpersons
described their dyadic practices with the CEO as an important source of additional information. Some respondents said that as part of their routine when starting as Chair in a company they formally interviewed all the members of the top management team to find out about the company and to get a sense of the views, atmosphere, quality and unity of perspectives of the team. Others said that they simply talked to top management team members when the opportunity arose. A management review conducted by an outside consultancy had in some cases been a valuable source of information on the CEO and her/his team. Some respondents admitted that as they were not specialists in the industry in which the company operated, evaluating the situation and the CEO was difficult for them.

As the CEO is normally present at Board meetings, Chairpersons said that they arranged one or more Board meetings or discussions without the CEO present to enable the Board Directors to discuss the matter and come to their decision. Our respondents said that they had either concluded that there was such dissatisfaction among the Board Directors or shareholders that it needed to be addressed or they wanted to discuss with other Directors their own view of the matter. Chairpersons underlined that it is the duty of the Chair to organise this kind of Board discussion, regardless of their own views on the CEO, if they discern any signs of dissatisfaction among Board Directors. Sometimes a Board meeting was preceded by discussions between the Chair and individual Board Directors, or a written questionnaire was sent by the Chair to the Board Directors to ask for their views on the CEO and the situation. In addition, some respondents said that they had discussed the situation and the possibility of the CEO’s dismissal with major shareholders.

Even if the decision to dismiss the CEO was made by the Board as a collective, rather than the Chair, some respondents said that they had been the driving force behind the dismissal decision. For example, one Chair noted that even if the dismissal was ‘a joint conclusion’ of the Board, she/he had been the one who had pushed for it most. Another Chair, while emphasising the collective nature of the Board’s decision-making, at the same time admitted that ‘but obviously, as the Chair, you always lead in one way or another’. One Chair also said that when she/he had organised a Board meeting without the CEO present to discuss the Board’s views of the CEO, this had clearly been a strong signal to the rest of the Board that they should take action, and the dismissal decision had been taken right away, although the Chair her/himself had at first held and presented a diverging view.

One Chair explained that emotions and a close relationship with the CEO may make the dismissal difficult for Board Directors: ‘Well, it is a difficult decision from a human perspective. I mean, the Board and the CEO have an aim, they aim to build a kind of trusting, close relationship. That has high level dialogue and in a way there’s a good atmosphere between the members of the group. And then, when this kind of difficult situation emerges, then… then the emotions are very much involved.’ The Chair also emphasised that in order not to risk the future success of the company, it is necessary to put the emotions aside, and to be pragmatic and analytical in these situations.

Obviously, a key issue that has to be addressed somehow before finally deciding upon the Board’s response is the CEO’s replacement. In some cases, uncertainty about the quality of possible successors was a major impediment to the process of dismissal, and the final decision was only made after the Chair had been able to find out something about the pool of alternative CEO candidates, for example through personal networks or head hunters. In some other cases, an interim CEO was appointed and the search for new candidates only started after the dismissal had already taken place.

Discussion

The findings of this study suggest that when faced with poor company performance, the CEO is put under closer scrutiny, while when the company is performing more strongly the CEO may not be as closely monitored by the Board (Pettigrew & McNulty 1995; Tuggle et al., 2010). This result is in line with the proposition of Jenter and Kanaan (2015), that under times of strong industry performance the Board may mistakenly attribute strong company performance to the CEO and that poor industry performance is likely to increase the chances of dismissal of an underperforming CEO.

The results of this study shed light on the importance of the Board’s expectations (Green & Mitchell, 1979; Fredrickson et al., 1988; Puffer & Weintrop, 1991; Haleblian & Rajagopalan, 2006) concerning the CEO’s actions, as well as its role and relationship with the CEO, which may all be subject to change when company performance turns out to be poor. More specifically, the results indicate that when company performance is poor, the CEO’s ability and effort to initiate and execute the necessary company transformation is important, and that the CEO’s failure to convince the Board of her/his fit (e.g. Finkelstein et al., 2009; Chen & Hambrick, 2012; cf. Cragun et al., 2016) as leader of this change is likely to contribute to dismissal (Bruton et al., 1997; Haleblian & Rajagopalan, 2006). Also, it is proposed that even if poor performance is originally perceived by the Board as resulting from external causes, such as a market crash, a resulting perception of a poor CEO fit with the changes needed for performance improvement may still lead to CEO dismissal (Jenter & Kanaan, 2015; cf. Green & Mitchell, 1979; Sun & Shin, 2014; cf. He & Fang, 2016). The Board might take a more active role in initiating changes to improve performance, and rather than its being merely a matter of ability, a failure of the CEO to show that she/he is making an effort to respond to both the external changes and the Board’s initiatives may have a significant impact on her/his dismissal (Weiner & Kukla, 1970; Green & Mitchell, 1979). Additionally, this study implies that the non-CEO executive turnover that often takes place before or at the same time as CEO dismissal may not be scapegoating by the CEO (cf. Boeker, 1992) but initiated and strongly encouraged by the Board to facilitate better top management fit, strategic change and company performance (Barker et al., 2001; cf. Wiersema & Bantel, 1993; cf. Fee & Hadlock, 2004).

As mentioned above, in the majority of the dismissal processes examined in this study, the Chairperson had taken up their post less than a year before the dismissal. It is likely that in part, the changing expectations, closer monitoring, and more active role of the Board discussed above resulted from Chair turnover, as the new Chair had been critical of the CEO right from the start (Stewart, 1991). It appears that in some cases the Chairperson’s critical attitude had already been formed before starting in the position, either in discussions with shareholders or the nomination committee about the Chairmanship or based on other prior knowledge of the CEO and/or company performance. Also, it is likely that the new Chairpersons’ attributions of performance differed from those of their predecessors who, apparently, had not (at least successfully) made any moves to dismiss the CEO (Pettigrew & McNulty,
In contrast, it seemed that Chairpersons with a long common tenure with the CEO had, together with the rest of the Board, reacted very late to an unsatisfactory situation, only after a significant drop in company profits. It may be that particularly long-tenured Chairpersons are subject to actor-observer bias and self-serving attributions (Green & Mitchell, 1979; Sedikides et al., 1998) despite their non-executive role (cf. Schaffer, 2002; cf. Haleblian & Rajagopalan, 2006). This may be influenced by the strong interdependency (Sedikides et al., 1998) between the CEO and Chair (Stewart, 1991), as well as their key role in the collective, co-constructed processes of corporate directing (Pye, 2002). Also, the role of emotions, such as empathy and care, in the dismissal process may be stronger when the CEO and Chair have a long common tenure and consequently, possibly a closer interpersonal relationship (Green & Mitchell, 1979; Lämås & Takala, 2000; Martelius-Louniala, 2017). Prior research has proposed that long-tenured, outside Directors who strongly identify socially with the organisation may be less likely to offer alternative views and to independently assess senior management (Veltrop et al., 2015) and that trust in and familiarity with the CEO may lead to complacency and cognitive blindness on the part of the Board (Van Ees et al., 2008). It has also been proposed that strong ties and mutual trust built in intensive collaboration between directors and executives, such as the Chair and the CEO, may contribute to faulty attributions and strategic persistence (Sundaramurthy & Lewis, 2003).

Finally, the team leadership skills of the Chairperson (Gabrielsson et al., 2007) as well as the ‘will and skill’ and dynamics of relationships among the Board Directors have been argued to affect their influence (Pettigrew & McNulty, 1995). Our results throw light on the key role of the Chair in the dismissal process (Roberts, 2002; McNulty et al., 2011) in terms of overcoming the constraints identified in prior studies (e.g. Schaffer, 2002; Hilger et al., 2013; Boivie et al., 2016; cf. Pettigrew & McNulty, 1998). The actions of the Chairpersons in this study appeared to be driven by utilitarianism, their identity as professionals, as well as their sense of duty (Lämås & Takala, 2000; Martelius-Louniala, 2017) and strong commitment to acting according to what they perceived to be in the best interests of the company and its shareholders (Fredrickson et al., 1988; Roberts, 2002). Our results indicate that due in part to the information asymmetry between the Board and the management (e.g. Bailey & Peck, 2013; Boivie et al., 2016), the Chairperson’s role in generating information for the Board may be significant in the CEO dismissal process. Additionally, the results suggest that because of the closer working relationship that the Chair has with the CEO compared to that of the rest of the Board (e.g. Roberts, 2002; Kakabadse et al., 2006; Koskinen & Lämås, 2016), as well as her/his control over the Board’s agenda (Tuggle et al., 2010), the Chair may be in a position to strongly affect the Board’s attributional process. It is also proposed that the Chair has a pivotal role in creating the conditions for the Board as a collective to discuss and to make the dismissal decision without the CEO present.

**Limitations and suggestions for further studies**

A limitation of this study is its attempt to study the dismissal process based on the perceptions of only one actor, namely the Chair. Due to the simultaneously collective and individual aspects of corporate directing (Pye, 2002), studying the perceptions and attributional processes of other key actors, such as the CEO and Board Directors, would be fruitful in the future, particularly with regard to the same CEO dismissal process. For example, it is possible that the CEO’s lack of effort described by our respondents would, from the CEO’s point of view, be the result of diverging views on priorities or solutions for performance improvement.

CEO dismissal can be argued to have several characteristics, such as importance, accountability and lack of clarity, that might encourage managerial political behaviour (Ferris et al., 1994) on the part of the Chair in the interview situation. It can also be suggested that senior Directors, like the respondents in this study, might well be particularly good at influencing others and justifying their own actions (Mills, 1940; Scott & Lyman, 1968; Ferris et al., 1994). When reporting their experiences of the dismissal process retrospectively, the Chairpersons had the opportunity to construct and edit the story to justify the outcome (Scott & Lyman, 1968; Gergen & Gergen, 1988; Bruner, 1991), i.e. the dismissal decision. In retrospect, the respondents said that in their opinion the decision was right, even if made too late, and many of them commented that company performance had improved as a result of the CEO turnover (Denis & Denis, 1995; Bruton et al., 1997; cf. Wiersma, 2002; cf. Hilger et al., 2013).

Owing to the approach chosen and the relatively small sample of empirical data generated from different companies in one country, the generalisability of the results of the study is limited. Still, we consider that our explorative approach has been valuable in increasing understanding of the process of CEO dismissal on account of the rich nature of the data obtained directly from key actors in the process. An additional strength of our sample in comparison to studies using publicly available data, which is a common way to study CEO dismissal, is that all the processes were certainly dismissals (see e.g. Finkelstein et al., 2009; Schwartz-Ziv & Weisbach, 2013). Moreover, while most dismissal studies have been conducted in the context of CEO duality, our results shed light on the influential role of the Chair in the context of CEO non-duality.

Despite their origin as outsiders and their part-time role (McNulty et al., 2011), the Chairpersons we interviewed can be assumed to have a lot of influence because of their extensive experience of Board work (e.g. Pettigrew & McNulty, 1995; 1998), of the role of the Chair and, for most of them, also of CEO dismissals, and exploring the role adopted by less experienced Chairpersons in the CEO dismissal process would be fruitful. It is also worth pointing out that owing to the seniority of the respondents, the sample processes may be biased towards unusually complex situations, as these senior Chairpersons may be the people who are called for when strong and skilled Chair leadership is particularly required.

The process of and reasons for Chair turnover and dismissal (Pettigrew & McNulty, 1998) would also merit research. Also, studying the impact on CEO dismissal of the tenure (e.g. Hamrick & Fukutomi, 1991; Shen, 2003; Dikolli et al., 2014) of the CEO, Board Directors and particularly of the Chair would be of interest, as would studying the influence of Chair and Board Director turnover on the dynamics and attributional processes of the Board. It is also important to bear in mind that our data only consist of cases that led to dismissal; it would be
interesting to explore the attributional process of the Chair and the Board in situations in which poor company performance did not lead to CEO dismissal. Examining the role of emotions in CEO dismissal would be another important topic of further study.

Practical implications

We propose that it is important to pay attention to the influence of social dynamics and possible biases in the Board’s decision-making and to give Directors some training in this area. It might also be useful to try to ensure a sufficient degree of Board Director and Chair turnover to promote a variety of perspectives in the boardroom (Fredrickson et al., 1988; Veltrop et al., 2015).

Even if the Chair does not have decision-making authority on her/his own, it can be argued that she/he is in a key position in the CEO dismissal process. It is proposed that the Chairperson’s ‘will and skill’ (Pettigrew & McNulty, 1995) and her/his interaction both outside and inside the boardroom are crucial in creating the conditions needed for the Board to address the issue. Therefore especially Chairpersons with less experience of CEO dismissal might benefit from training and an increased awareness of the role, leadership and practices of the Chair in the CEO dismissal process.

Conclusion

The findings of this study increase understanding of the process that leads to a Board’s decision to dismiss the CEO under conditions of poor performance, and shed light on the issues which impact the relationship between poor performance and CEO dismissal. Even if prior research has suggested that the Board’s decision to dismiss the CEO is not totally rational, but is influenced by, for example, Directors’ expectations and attributions (e.g. Fredrickson et al., 1988), prior knowledge of the Board’s interpretative processes leading to dismissal is scarce (Haleblian & Rajagopalan, 2006; Cragun et al., 2016). The results suggest that social forces and changing dynamics in the social relationships between the Chair, the CEO and other Board Directors are likely to have an impact on the Board’s attribution process (Green & Mitchell, 1979) and CEO dismissal (Fredrickson et al., 1988; Pettigrew & McNulty, 1995; 1998). The results of this study also shed light on the role and significant influence of the Chair in the CEO dismissal process (Roberts, 2002). In addition, the results confirm the inter-related nature of the destinies of CEO and Chair (Eriksson et al., 2001; Florou, 2005).
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Introduction

People in an organization are undeniably considered the most precious asset. It is the collection of all the expertise, capacity and knowledge of all the people working in any organization. It is essential to use the capacities of all the people working in the organization to attain the most wanted goals. It is based on the performance of the workers. The performance of all employees is dependent on the employees' job satisfaction (Rao, 2000).

HRM is a specific area of business on the basis a variety of approaches, strategies, policies and different actions are developed which encourage the job satisfaction of workers as well as the business (Armstrong, 1987).

People have certain things in their minds by joining the organization such as job safety, income, greater expectations and safety of community and mental needs. People are different with respect to their needs from others. Therefore it is the duty of the organization to perform their needs and provide the chances of growth and development. Similarly, when a person likes his/her job and considers himself/herself cherished by the organization. In that case, an organization plays a significant role in dictating its value. They should provide appropriate opportunities and environments to people at work to satisfy their needs (Suri & Chhabra, 2000).

Organizational politics is to get authority to make connection in order to get things done. It is the mistreatment of the entrusted powers in an organization to get special benefits. The compensations are fulfilled in a variety of ways as demonstrated by using substantial and insubstantial assets. All the people are using this for their own well-being (Weissenberger, 2010).

It is an inevitable fact that workplace conflict arises where the organization possesses limited resources and there is rivalry amongst persons for their survival. Essentially, it necessitates to overcome it or assume it. That workplace politics in most organizations and engaging in all-out conflicts with each other can defeat the overall goals of the organization (Vigoda, 2002).
Job related stress is the intensifying and challenging problem of functioning organizations. It has been caused by over-loaded labor which usually results in work stress. It has an effect on the performance of workforce and causes unnecessary behaviors such as smoking, drinking, depression and nervousness when there is no stability between inconsistent demands and responsibilities of their duties (Sherry & Rabi, 1992).

Changes in working circumstances cause work stress, sick leave, high labor turnover and early retirements are the results of job related stress. It is considered a main challenge to workers’ strength and the strengths of their organizations can be truly global. Badly irritated, less industrious and less secured at job as a result the organizations are less aggressive in marketplace. In must pressure son the work and at home (Rpbet, Marie, & Mark, 2009). Job satisfaction decreases absenteeism and turnover. Various studies have revealed that it is improved by pay and management behavior (Petrescu & Simmons, 2008).

It has been disclosed that very limited number of studies focused on Pakistan, though many studies concerning the topic have previously been conducted around the world (Usman & Ismail, 2010). Due to the consequence of the study the research is conducted on different banks of Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province of Pakistan. In order to determine the consequences of organizational politics and job stress and the mediating role of job satisfaction on workers of banking sector, this sector is chosen due to the reason that banking job is frequently measured as a very tough and stressful. The banking sector has grown at a faster-than-usual pace recently. The state of competition is severe in that sector. It is well known fact that banks are special because of the vulnerability to the entrant of new firms, for this reason they are striving to provide cheaper services to their customers. In the prevailing circumstances, the grooming of well-qualified workforce is the main issue. Focused on improving human resource practices is being assumed to maintain the organizational human resources.

Literature Review

This section includes the details of prior researches related to organizational politics, job satisfaction and job stress of the employees and their associations with each other.

Organizational Politics

In every human organization, people that develop, influence and wield overwhelming powers in the decision making process and the underlying politics, power struggle and influence wielding is used by individuals and groups to achieve their own cherished goals. Organizational politics is considered as an unofficial struggle for achieving power (Winning, 1978). Organizational politics is the process of gaining power by various means rather than merit or by chance. It is used for gaining only power through unfair means. All the powers are gained oneriching personal profits such as promotion, obtaining a lot of funds or other resources or gaining beneficial projects. It is the study of power in action (Pfeffer, 1981).

Several studies have been performed to discover the term organizational politics. It is a significant ability in managers for doing things from others (Bacharach, 2005). There are various concepts regarding institutional politics. Various researchers have used different scale and dimensions for achieving awareness of organizational politics. There are numerous methods to have high level in politics such as for gaining ownprofit, the individual use short cuts, avoid the influence and using easy means (Kacmar & Andrews, 2001).

The term organizational politics has captured the attention onto those scientists engaged in the study of organization. Various researchers defined the term organizational politics in various ways. Individual or team behavior that is unofficial, disruptive and above all in a technical sense, illegitimate - sanctioned neither by formal authority, accepted ideology, nor certified expertise is known as organizational politics (Mintzberg, 1983). This is manifested as the course of authority in which person gets various things by undeserved means (Mayes & Allen, 1977).

There are different views to understand politics in an organization. There are countless examples of place of work politics such as bypassing authority while gaining approval, for gaining tools using inappropriate channels and lobbying bosses in time of promotion. Organizational politics described as an activity that permits people in organization to accomplish goals without going through proper channels. The political behavior is demonstrated by nature of the act or by the perception of people of what is political (Kacmar & Andrews, 2001). The manner in which people engaged and their conflicts are resolved through the awareness of reality (Lewin, 1936). Organizational politics is difficult to describe in place of work because it is a relationship of power in place of work. They have unique characteristics of relationship of power in place of work. They have unique characteristics of relationships of individuals which directly met with each other. Besides, they have their own overriding plans and struggle with powers. The main aims of that are to secure or maximize personal benefits or avoiding negative results of the group (Ferris & Kacmar, Perceptions of organizational Politics, 1991). Organizational politics has also used for protecting collective interests (team, group, organizational or social) in those cases where several decisions are awaiting which affect different other interests.

There are very less number of researchers known about the nature, boundaries and other proportions of organizational politics. There are two aspects of organizational politics such as negative and positive aspects. Many scholars have focused on the negative aspect of organizational politics and seeing that it is the representation of the dim side of human nature. Organizational politics has been considered as equal to the dis-loyal and semi-legal actions (Ferris & King, 1991) and (Mintzberg, 1983). The picture depicts that the organizational politics negates the common purpose of the group and also harms the performance of individual, team unit or system at any level. In recent years, many researchers have worked on the positive side of perception of organizational politics i.e., providing the basis of competitive advantage, develop positive political skills, which create an effective political situation which does not affect from injustice, unfairness etc., serving the objectives of the organization and the vision and develop teamwork and confidence (Karen, 2015).

Fedor, Maslyn, Farmer, & Bettenhausen (2008) have worked on both the positive and negative politics and stated that positive and negative politics having separate dimensions rather than they are on the same pole and may occur at the individual, team or place of work level. In the period of 1990s up to 2000s interest has taken the direction of cognition. Numerous experimental studies have been carried out about the people thinking and political plans in modern place of work.

According to Kacmar & Carlson (1997) represented the study to the extent in which the workers hope their job environment as political in nature, cheering the self-interests in others and have unmerited and undeserved from the employee’s side.

There are two proportions of personality which play an ample role in individuals’ actions in an organization. The first one showed those persons that believe that they are masters of...
their own destiny while the second type showed those persons that believe on luck. The first type is labeled as internal while the second type labeled as external.

According to various researchers there is inverse association with the perception of organizational politics in the eyes of workers and the level of justice, equity and fairness. Different researchers pointed that politics and fairness are inter-related because organizational politics is related to leaders. It is evidently lack of justice and fairness in the organization; there will be organizational politics which hampers the performance of organization (Ferris & Kacmar, 1991). It has been disputed that people always react to the perceptions of reality, not to reality. It is necessary that an individual knows the politics in an organization.

Different studies stated that politics in an organization is due to the perception of justice and fairness which results into poor job performance (Drory, 1993). Other researchers replicated these ideas and stated that organizational politics is not unseen and representative but different across individuals (Ferris, et al., 1989). Awareness of organizational politics is not the awareness of an organization but it is the awareness of an entity about other political activities such as nepotism, stifling of opposing entities and exploitation of organizational politics (Kacmar & Ferris, 1991). It creates variance because it is the struggle for authority. Various researchers recommended that it is significant viewpoint of individuals in functioning atmosphere (Parker, Dipboye, & Jackson, 1995).

Organizational politics is measured as an unconstructive occurrence of an organization (Vigoda E., 2003). In case, somebody told you that you are a political person, the person contemplates it as an abuse (Block, 2016). Influence, strength and politics are considered as a whole. Organizational politics is associated with slyness, exploitation, rebellion or attainment of goals in inappropriate ways. Conflicts and politics create stability between varying atmospheres (Ferris & King, 1991). Organizational politics has positive outcome as well such as in case of promotion. Hence it is not necessary to say that it is negative behavior. It is a natural human activity. It is an individual skill (Putnam, 1995).

**Job Stress**

Job stress is defined as damaging bodily and exciting responses that occur when the necessities of job do not equal the skills and requirements of staff (NIOSH, 1999). This constitutes a large challenge to intellectual and bodily fitness of staff. Stressed staff is less creative, secured and provoked than others which affect otherwise their association. As a result, these personals gave a huge loss to nation (Palmer & Stephen, 2004). There are several sources of job stress which affect the personnel of different ways (Dollard, Maureen, & Jacquee, 1999).

One of the main reasons for work stress causes damage to the mind and the body. There is a correlation between mental damage, meaningfulness of work; and the autonomy. Other sources contain bodily action and work uncertainty. Job satisfaction and self-supposed labor pressure are the features of job stress (Karasek & Robert, 1979). In the 20th century the term ‘job stress’ has been a significant expression of researchers working in different fields (Cooper, Dewe, & Driacall, 2001). Various studies indicate that job stress has an unenthusiastic shock on the job performance, bodily and emotional well-being of staff. Therefore it is an expected part of an association (Wright, 2007).

Job stress also affects the operational competence of staff such as raise turnover and reduces satisfaction with staff (Onggori & Agolla, 2008). It is articulated in the form of distrust and disconnection from the work and a sense of infectiveness (Maslach, Schaufel, & Leiter, 2001). Stress is a mismatch between the supposed demand of a circumstances and supposed capabilities and possessions of staff for meeting those demands. Various studies on coaching have been performed which show stress and risk in coaches (Lazarus, 1990).

Stress is a major challenge to the health of staff in various part of the world. Stressed staff is considered harmful, badly irritated, less creative and less safe at their work. There are various causes of stress such as at home and at work. Stress at work is a great trouble for the organizations as well as for the workers. Best organizations are trying to supervise worker’s stress and have procedures for their avoidance. Different countries have stress associated regulations which protect workers. Job stress is the reaction to the staff when workload and pressures are not matched with their understanding, expertise and capability. Stress-related disorders may occur in a broad array of circumstances. A vigorous work matters a lot where the staff feels equilibrium nervousness relative to their skills and possessions. WHO defined health that it is not the lack of a sickness but it shows the total bodily, public and intellectual well-being of staff (Leka, Griffiths, & Cox, 2003).

Unfortunate labors association, uncontrollable nervousness and demands are the causes of workstress. There are various vulnerabilities associated to stress such as work content, workload, working hours, control and career development, position in the organization, interpersonal dealings and traditions of organization. Job stress also affects the overall association with various ways such as increasing absentee, staff turnover, unsafe working practices, criticism from customers, declining assurance to labor, upsetting personnel staffing and damaging the organization’s image. The job stress can be prohibited from primary avoidance such as focused work design and administration growth, secondary avoidance such as workers’ learning and training and tertiary avoidance such as mounting responsive organization system and improved work-related health condition (ILO Series No:56, 1986). Various studies show that female are excessively engaged in workplace and become targets of workplace harassment and they are less likely to react to disagreement and stress (Borling, Dupre & Kelloway, 2009).

It is observed that disagreement and the nature of administration shaped demanding surroundings. Management and administration approaches are causing boss and worker stress largely (Vicar, 2003). Stress in hospitals in the shape of interpersonal and inprofessional and intra-professional disagreement happened due to poor communication between team members (Xyrichis & Lowton, 2008).

**Job Satisfaction**

Satisfaction is a person’s feelings of pleasure, completion of purpose about programmed goals, requirements or wants (Kotler, 2000). In economic terms, utility or happiness derives from consumption of the goods or services as preferred or needed by an individual.

The term ‘job satisfaction’ obviously denotes the negotiations on organization of individual capital. It refers the feelings of happiness on the job which provoked them towards their occupation. It does not mean the pleasure of a person such as happiness but it means the fulfillment on the job. It shows the connection between the workers and their owner about their pay. Various factors prejudiced the job fulfillment. It does not mean simple sentiment after gaining some objective but it is the end state. The term ‘job satisfaction’ is described by Robert Hoppock in 1935. According to him, it is the mixture of
emotional, physiological and ecological conditions which cause job satisfaction and a person honestly say that I am pleased with my job (Mirza, 1996). There are different aspects which shape job satisfaction such as environment of labor, reasonable endorsement arrangement, job independence, management performance and public dealings (Dawson, 1987).

It has many facets such as workplace itself, pay and appreciation, understanding with supervisors and co-workers and possibility for growth and development. These dimensions affect largely thoughts of the workers. Job satisfaction refers to one's thoughts towards their job and the regulations of persons towards their job. Job satisfaction relates to performance. It is the feelings of workers towards their job (Hamer & Dennis, 1978). It has the element of self-motivation. More concentration is desirable for job satisfaction and trust. It is constructive employee attitudes, loyalty and training which fall out from the practice of the workers' job (Locke, 1976).

Job satisfaction has a close association with enthusiasm and doing. Satisfied staff is more industrious, efficient about their jobs as compared to dissatisfied staff. Various studies and researches have carried out in order to raise the efficiency and constructiveness of personnel and approach of staff and their performance (Ferris, Adams, Kolodinsky, Hochwarter, & Ammeter, 2002). Numerous studies have validated that there is no relation between job satisfaction and productivity of workers (Ferris & Kacmar, 1992). As a result the positive outcome of satisfaction with inspiration and efficiency cannot be deprived. Job satisfaction means to make all aspects of job simple, important and demanding. Deficiency in job satisfaction often leads to fatigue. Due to lack of job satisfaction the personnel quit from public to the private and from private to public sectors or from one profession to another. These circumstances occur to those countries which have unfortunate circumstances of service and stoppage in salaries (Nwagwu, 1997).

Workers on the job might be provoked by job nature, public atmosphere, wants of the workers and functioning situation and also exaggerated by accessibility of authority and position, compensate fulfillment, encouragement opportunities and job simplicity (Oshagbemi, 2000). Job satisfaction has associations with income, organization procedure, functioning situation, encouragement, gaining esteem and the size of association, self-expansion and attainment of the use of talents. Organizing people within the organization is an essential part of the organization practices. Efficient organizations look after their staff from all features such as their health, job security, safety, giving those benefits and make sure a situation of collaboration, obligation and happiness. It gives administration various information about job, personnel and their atmosphere. By increasing the job satisfaction and confidence gets better job performance (Daftawr & Chitrnanjan, 1982).

Now-a-days job satisfaction has received much attention to workplace. It is the satisfaction with workers feel while doing their work. Job satisfaction is one of the important factors which affect both the effectiveness of laborers and job performance such as non-attendance; accidents etc. satisfy workers provide the major benefit to the organization while displeased workers are the liabilities as disappointment leads to irritation and irritation leads to violence. Job satisfaction and dissatisfaction based on various factors such as nervousness at labor, occupation participation, relation with social group and supervisors, grievances elimination, feeling tiredness and isolation (Srivastava, 2004).

There are two outcomes of job satisfaction which affects workers such as intrinsic outcome included feeling of accountability, challenge and appreciation and extrinsic outcome included pay, working condition and co-workers. Job satisfaction has various theories which measure job satisfaction from various aspects. Job satisfaction is generally measured as workers' feelings towards the job situation. It is the level of individual likeness of the job. When satisfied with a job, workers consider that job is the part of their life; hence they enjoy doing their work. Job enjoyment is related to the nature and operation of work. If the job provides a worker low independence, insecurity and lack of hope for promotion, it collectively leads to dissatisfaction with the job part of workers (Spector, 1997).

While relating job satisfaction with the performance, it is observed that matured and satisfied workers serve more efficiently as compared to the dis-satisfied workers. As workers are the frontline of the organization and can influence the satisfaction from the clients. Therefore a satisfied worker can be able to serve a customer well. A satisfied customer in turn influences positively worker's performance and satisfaction from the job (Hussami, 2008).

While reporting the factors influencing the job satisfaction from workers, age, position, femininity and extent were found to be the pertinent sources for the staff of universities in UK which contributed for their satisfaction from the job (Oshagbemi, 2003). Likewise different studies in Chinese restaurants determined that job itself; work atmosphere and rewards is the important determinants of job satisfaction (Lan, Bawm, & Pine, 2001). In addition to team functioning has a great impact on the job satisfaction as it directly affects the performance of staff. These factors play a great role in maintenance and keeping the level of pleasure of workers (James, 1996).

Relationship between Organizational Politics and Job Satisfaction

Social atmosphere at work is related to labor stress. Organizational politics and job stress have positive association. It is absolutely connected with that area more regular research is needed to test the association with organizational politics and job stress. When the people perceive public support, they feel less stressed and nervousness and also have better life satisfaction and psychophysical fitness (Ferris, Frink, Galang, Zhou, Kacmar, & Howard, 1994). Several studies have stated that worker's political performance caused stress related impacts in place of works. Organizational politics is one source of stress and divergence in the workplace (Ferris, Frink, Galang, Zhou, Kacmar, & Howard, 1994).

The literature demonstrates that there is a relationship between organizational politics and job stress and it has been highly explored in the economically developed countries. There is a need to examine this association with the perspective of Pakistan and it is essential to bridge the yawning gap.

Relationship between Organizational Politics and Job Satisfaction

Workers supposed organizational politics as self-centered act of individual's which causes absentees, be exhausted and work-tension and turnover intent while enhanced knowledge of awareness of organizational politics increases work satisfaction (Valle & Blake, 2001). Earlier studies have disclosed negative relationship between job satisfaction and organizational politics in lower level workers while workers which had lower level of politics have higher level of satisfaction with approval, pay policies and payment scheme. Earlier researchers have revealed the negative relationship between perception of organizational politics and job satisfaction (Vigoda & Talmud, 2010). Recent literature has supported negative relationship to perception of organizational politics, job satisfaction and organiza-
tional commitment (Miller, M.A, & Kolodinsky, 2008). The studies have further divulged the negative relationship to job satisfaction, organization commitment and job participation (Bodla & Danish, 2009). Organizational politics in organization has various unconstructive consequences. Organizational politics affects a lot of the middle and lower level of workers (Hussain & Haque, 2011). Kodisinghe researched on 300 workers explains his findings on organizational politics and its effect on workers' satisfaction. He concluded that there is an inverse relationship of organizational politics and job satisfaction. While studying on some workers, it was disclosed that there is an insignificant pressure by absence and employee's job un-ease of political affairs on work atmosphere (Kodisinghe, 2010). Many researchers have explained that managerial political affairs are directly linked with job dissatisfaction (Valle & Witt, 2001). Organizational politics had negative association with work feelings of employment and managerial loyalty (Vigoda, 2000). Organizational politics is the basic variable in formation of job attitudes. It consists of contribution to appreciation for the association and it is significantly influenced by work satisfaction and overall environment (Mowday, Steers, & Porter, 1979).

Relationship among Organizational Politics, Job Stress and Job Satisfaction

Organizational politics, job satisfaction and organization commitment have negative relationship. It is the organizational politics have destructive effect on lower status worker rather than higher status workers (Drory, 1993). Organizational politics has negative relationship to work attitude while positive relationship between perception of organizational politics and unwanted results such as work burnout and stress (Ferris, Arthur, Berkson, Kaplan, Herrell-Cook& Frink, 1998). Job satisfaction manipulated job stress in place of work. Organizations are seeking better job outcomes of today's world. Various researchers have worked on work satisfaction and work stress as these are the challenging terms of HRM research. It has been distinguished that work satisfaction and work stress have important relationships. Workload and working circumstance had negative relationship to workplace satisfaction (Mahdad, 2006).

Lack of satisfaction resulted stress and also specified that these are interconnected (Vinokur-Kaplan, 1991). High level of work stress resulted in low level of work satisfaction (Landsbergis, 1988). If workers are satisfied with the workload, allotment of duties, and way of performance evaluation then the stress level is negligible (Ahsan, Abdullah, Fie, & Alam, 2009). The females are facing a lot of barriers concerning to their working situation and are facing less satisfied and have stress (Opeke, 2002). There are a lot of stressors in place of work in private and public sectors banking in Pakistan (Malik, 2011). It has been accomplished from several studies that the staff of private banks is appreciative with their wage, working hours and workload as compared to public sectors staff (Khalid & Irshad, 2010). It was increasingly recognized by various studies that 80% of the managers working in different association are in stress (Ram, Khosoo, Shah, Chadio & Shaikh, 2011). There are numerous features such as extra work, indeterminate role, disagreement of role and deficiency of high support of higher administration cause stress in the organization (Malik, 2011). Various studies have been conducted among teachers and managers which accomplished that high level stress unconstrucitively affect work satisfaction (Senk, 2008).

Mediating Effects

The term mediation shows that the outcome of an independent variable (X) is passed on to a dependent variable (Y) through a third variable measured as a mediator (M). The approach anticipated by Baron and Kenny to covariant with the study of mediation consists of four steps therefore known as a four step model (Baron & Kenny, 1986).

1. Variables X and Y must be connected, and coefficient c in Figure must be dissimilar to zero in the anticipated way. This state is confirmed with a linear regression analysis of Yover X:

\[ Y = i + cX + e \]  

Where i is the constant term, c is the regression coefficient that relates X to Y, and e are the random errors (this is, the part of Y that isn’t clarified by X), which are measured to be usually dispersed, with constant variance and independent from each other.

2. Variables X and M must be connected, this is, and coefficient ‘a’ from Figure.1 must be different to zero. This condition is confirmed using a linear regression analysis of M over X:

\[ M = i_2 + aX + e_2 \]  

3. Variables M and Y must be connected once the result of X is restricted, this is, and coefficient b from Figure.1 must be dissimilar to zero. This state is confirmed using a linear regression analysis of Y over X and M:

\[ Y = i_3 + aX + bM + e_3 \]

4. The association between X and Y must be considerably concentrated when calculating the result of M. This is coefficient c’ (direct effect in Figure.1, p. 18) must be lesser than coefficient c (total effect in Figure.1). (Baron & Kenny, 1986)

Baron and Kenny imagine the minor size decrease in coefficient c as a range: the bigger that decrease is, the bigger the extent of mediation. Therefore, when the decrease is highest, this is, when coefficient c’is zero, there is proof of the occurrence of only one mediator variable; on the other hand, if a decrease in the extent of c’occurs without it getting zero, there is confirmation that more than one mediator variable is taking place. As a result of this, in Baron and Kenny’s suggestion there is a difference between total mediation (all of the effect of X goes through M) and fractional mediation (only part of the effect of X goes through M). The data are well-suited with the total mediation hypothesis when the association between X and Y totally disappears when calculating M (this is, when coefficient c’is zero). The data are well-matched with the fractional mediation hypothesis when the association between X and Y is considerably concentrated when calculating M but doesn’t totally vanish (this is, when the total value of coefficient c’is smaller than cand, at the same time, greater than zero).

The following hypotheses are, therefore, posited in this study:

H1: There is a significant relationship between organizational politics and job stress.

H2: Job satisfaction mediates the relationship between organizational politics and job stress.

Methodology

Quantitative methodology is adopted for the research, whereas method utilized is the survey through a structured and closed ended Questionnaire. The population is all the employees of 5/7 public banks and 10/21 private sector banks of district Peshawar and the sample size is 200/400 employees of different
banks of district Peshawar included different categories of employees from branch manager to cash officer of public as well as private banks. Different sector and employees are important to find out the actual results. In order to fill up the questionnaires from the bank employees such as branch manager to treasury officer - the required information provided by them is embodied in the actual results of the study. Workers in the senior level capacity are in better position to be selected as a sample of the study as they are well aware of the pros and cons of the organization and are better equipped to provide useful insights. Thus, all employees in the senior level recognized the importance of this study and were eager to fulfill the required information it needs.

The research is explanatory in nature which has been conducted for different public and private sector banks of district Peshawar. In order to find out answers to the questions required to measure the organizational politics impact the job stress and job satisfaction. It also indicates the relationship of organizational politics and job stress in their workplace. The research undertaken is causal and the data is randomly gathered from different banks of one point in time.

Data Collection Tools and Instruments

In order to make meaningful findings and to offer recommendations, a questionnaire is used as a data collection tool. Questionnaire about job satisfaction was designed by Brayfield & Rothe, 1951, perception of organizational politics by Kacmar and Ferris, 1991 and job stress by Parker & DeCotiis, 1983, as it enabled respondents to participate and reflect adequate data. The questionnaire consists of close ended questions about two parts, the first part was about the demographic information of the employees and the second part included the information about job satisfaction, organizational politics and job stress.

Analysis and Discussion

For proper data processing, inputting the entry and to finding the results as output, SPSS the tool is used in this research.

Descriptive Statistic:

Table No.1 (p. 19) demonstrates the mean values of the respondents of this study. Out of the mean value of the Gender stand to 1.17 illustrating that on average the study has included the Male respondents than the Females. This is further confirmed by the frequency table of the respondents (Table No.2, p. 19). The mean value for the Age stand 1.43 illustrating that on average the study included 25-35 Age group respondents than other age groups. This is further confirmed by the frequency table of the respondents (Table No.2). Also the mean value for the Qualification stand to 1.9 illustrating that on average the study included Master respondents than the rest qualification respondents. This is further confirmed by the frequency table of the respondents (Table No.2). The mean value for the Job Status stand to 1.22 illustrating that on average the study included Permanent respondents than the Contract employees. This is further confirmed by the frequency table of the respondents (Table No.2). The mean value for the Ranks stand to 4.53 illustrating that on average the study has included Cash Officer respondents than the remaining ranks employees. This is further confirmed by the frequency table of the respondents (Table No.2).

Demographic Analysis:

This study has included 200/400 respondents of 5/7 public sector bank and 10/21 of private sector bank of district Peshawar. The respondents 166 (83%) was the male and 34 (17%) was the female. Maximum number of respondents was between the ages of 25-35 years which make up about 134 (67%) of the respondents. 48 (24%) of the respondents were from 36-45 years. 16 (8%) of the respondents lie between the age of 46-55 years and 2 of the respondents were above the age of 55 that make them 2 (1%) of the total respondents. Qualification tables show that 48 (24%) of the respondents lies in Bachelor level education. Master level employees were 128 (64%), MS level were 23 (11.5%) and the remaining 1 (0.5%) had the PhD level of education. Job status tables show that 156 (78.0%) of the employees was permanent and 44 (22.0%) were contract. Ranks table shows that 20 (10%) of the respondents were at the Branch Manager Position. 19 (9.5%) of the respondents was at the level of Operation Manager, 20 (10.0%) were at Relationship Manager level, 41 (20.5%) at Banking Service officer level, 22 (11.0%) were at General Banking Officer, 32 (16.0%) were Operation Officer and remaining 46 (23.0%) respondents were Cash Officer as shown in Table No. 2.

Mediation Effects/Hypothesis Testing by Baron and Kenny Approach

Hypothesis 1: There is a significant relationship between organizational politics and job stress. The result reveals that the hypothesis is accepted

The table 3, (p.19) shows the relationship between organizational politics and job stress. This table also shows that 1 unit increase in organizational politics causes 35 units increase to job stress of the employees included in the study.

ANOVA Table 4. (p.19) tells the fitness of the model. In the table, the regression sum of square is less than residual sum of square but value of F is 28.857. The effects are significant. Apparently, it explains the relationship and reflects a better model fit. B is the regression coefficient. It tells the change bought in a direct variable (DV) when one unit of the indirect
Mean Values for the Demographics of Respondents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic Profile</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>1.1700</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>.37658</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.4300</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>.68369</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>1.8850</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>.60299</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Status</td>
<td>1.2200</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>.41529</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranks</td>
<td>4.5300</td>
<td>4.5000</td>
<td>1.98464</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Demographics of respondents

| Frequency table for the respondents: | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Demographics                        | Frequency | Percentage (%) | Valid Percent | Cumulative percentage |
| Gender:                             |           |                |               |                   |
| Male                                | 166       | 83             | 83            | 83.0              |
| Female                              | 34        | 17             | 17            | 100.0             |
| Age:                                |           |                |               |                   |
| 25-35                               | 134       | 67.0           | 67.0          | 67.0              |
| 36-45                               | 48        | 24.0           | 24.0          | 91.0              |
| 46-55                               | 16        | 8.0            | 8.0           | 99.0              |
| 55 and Over                         | 2         | 1.0            | 1.0           | 100.0             |
| Qualification:                      |           |                |               |                   |
| Bachelor                            | 48        | 24.0           | 24.0          | 24.0              |
| Master                              | 128       | 64.0           | 64.0          | 88.0              |
| MS                                  | 23        | 11.5           | 11.5          | 99.5              |
| PhD                                 | 1         | .5             | .5            | 100.0             |
| Job Status:                         |           |                |               |                   |
| Permanent                           | 156       | 78.0           | 78.0          | 78.0              |
| Contract                            | 44        | 22.0           | 22.0          | 100.0             |
| Ranks:                              |           |                |               |                   |
| Branch Manager                      | 20        | 10.0           | 10.0          | 10.0              |
| Operation Manager                   | 19        | 9.5            | 9.5           | 19.5              |
| Relationship Manager                | 20        | 10.0           | 10.0          | 29.5              |
| Banking Service Officer             | 41        | 20.5           | 20.5          | 50.0              |
| General Banking Officer             | 22        | 11.0           | 11.0          | 61.0              |
| Operation Officer                   | 32        | 16.0           | 16.0          | 77.0              |
| Cash Officer                        | 46        | 23.0           | 23.0          | 100.0             |

Table 2. Frequency of respondents

Variable is changed. As per the table above, the value of B is .492, which indicates that if organizational politics is increased by one unit, it brings 49.2 units to change into job stress. The value of t is 5.372, the digits are greater than 2 and the significance value is below the required range of 0.05. Hence, it confirms the results that there is a significant relationship between organizational politics and job stress of the employees.

Hypothesis 2 (Mediation effects)
A. Job satisfaction mediates the relationship between organizational politics and job stress.

The table 6. shows the relationship between organizational politics and job satisfaction. This table also shows the 1% increase in organizational politics causes 38% increases to job satisfaction of the employees.

The ANOVA Table 7. reflects the fitness of the model. In the table, the regression sum of square value is less than the residual sum of square and the value of F is 17.499. The effects are significant and perceive the relationship of the model, which has achieved a fit.

B is the regression coefficient. It tells the change bought in a direct variable (DV) when one unit of the indirect variable is changed. As per the table above, the value of B for OP is 0.508, which indicates that if organizational politics is increased by one unit, it brings 50.8 units to change into job satisfaction. The value of t is 5.588, the digits are greater than 2 which indicate the model fitness and the significance value is below the required range of 0.05 and the value of B for Job Satisfaction is .187, it indicates that if job satisfaction is increased by one unit, it brings 18.7 units to change. The value of t is 2.342, the digits
Table 8. a. Dependent Variable: Job Stress _ Average

Table 9. a. Predictors: (Constant), OP_Average

are greater than 2 which indicate the model fitness and significance value is greater than the required range 0.05. The result indicates that the hypothesis portrays an insignificant relationship between organizational politics and job satisfaction as per table 8. Therefore, the effect is not significant.

B. There is an insignificant relationship between organizational politics and job satisfaction. The result reveals that hypothesis 2 is rejected.

Table 9. discloses the relationship between organizational politics and job satisfaction. This table also reveals that 1 unit increase in organizational politics causes 73 units to increase from job satisfaction of the employees. The ANOVA Table 10. above demonstrates that the model is not fit. In the table, the regression sum of square value is less than the residual sum of square and the value of F is 1.055, which discloses that the model is not fit or significant and does not explain the relationship.

B is the regression coefficient. As per the table above, the value of B is -.083, which indicates a negative relationship between organizational politics and job satisfaction. The value of t is -.027 the digits are less than 2, which indicate the model unfitness value, and the significance values are greater than the required range of 0.05. Hence, the study confirms the hypothesis that there is no significant relationship between organizational politics and job satisfaction as per table 11. Tables 10. and 11. automatically rejected hypothesis No: 2 above, because the model turned out to be unfit with the F value <1.

Conclusion and Recommendation

Conclusion
This study has the profound potential to assist the management of banking sector for enhancing the relationship between organizational politics and job stress. It also focuses on the reduction of job stress and the job satisfaction from employees at workplace. This study reveals that organizational politics and job stress have significant positive impact. The present study have examined that there is no mediating effect on organizational politics and job stress due to job satisfaction. The literature review revealed that there is indeed an inference for a positive relationship between organizational politics and job stress and negative relationship between organizational politics and job satisfaction. It can be deduced that the increasing organizational politics escalates job stress in an organization. The study results indicate that organizational politics and job stress is noticeably low in the banking sector and should concentrate on the causal factors to achieve good employees’ performance. These two factors essentially result in the long term job satisfaction from employees and it also decreases the job stress level of employees which eventually help the management of the company for improving the overall performance of the organization. It is evidently manifested from the study that the mediating role of job satisfaction from employee is a significant factor. When employees feel that they are being treated fairly and their well-being matters are dealt with equitably in an organization, they are satisfied with the job. If employees are interested in creating a positive relationship to the company and they would be inclined to go an extra mile in many ways. Both organizational politics and job stress are very significant factors of the employee’s point of view. The underlying factors have the potential to affect the overall performance of the organization. Research suggests the human resource departments of the banking sector can address and reduce the job stress of the current and future organizational workforce.

Recommendation
The present study focused on different banks of district Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province of Pakistan. The scope of the contemporary research can be enlarged upon other cities of Pakistan. This research is unique to the sense, it considers the organizational politics and the job stress and the mediating role of job satisfaction from the banking sector consequently; it can be applied to the other economic factors as well. The object of this study is to facilitate the policy makers to decrease organizational politics by taking into consideration the most important factor- employee’s job satisfaction from the banking sector. In addition, it will also assist the policy makers to consider relevant factors such as employees’ well-being by taking initiatives in order to contribute to their job satisfaction that will ultimately influence the job stress. The study is further intended to educate the employee and the employer in order to understand the role of organizational politics; hence it facilitates the administrator of an organization by implementing sound policies and procedures; therefore, it prevents the organization of the negative effects of job stress.
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Third Sector Hybridization and Migrant Integration: Cases of Two Migrant Youth Organizations in Finland
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Introduction
In policy debates the third sector has been perceived as having multiple positive roles in providing services, solving and identifying the needs of communities, tackling complex social issues, promoting volunteerism, campaigning for social change and building strong communities (Billis, 2010, 10; Van Til, 2000). These expectations and recent changes, such as increasing service provision role in the third sector have also received research attention over the past several years (see e.g. Alapuro, 2010; Kankainen, et al. 2009; Ruuskanen, et al. 2013; Saukkonen, 2013). Traditionally, Finnish associations have been interest-based and have had a representative role in the decision-making system. When compared internationally, Finland has had a rather unique system of corporatism and non-statism, whereby the state encourages collective action but at the same time the civil society is somewhat autonomous (see Schofer & Fourcade-Gourinchas, 2001). Moreover, associations have been in the main voluntarily run and the proportion of paid employees in third sector organizations has been relatively low (Salamon & Anheir, 1998; Saukkonen, 2013; Alapuro & Stenius, 2010). Nowadays, associations are increasingly expected to move into service provision due to the changes in the service provision system and tightening budgets in municipalities. At the same time, commitment to associations is nowadays decreasing and participation is taking more individualistic and non-political forms. (Alapuro, 2010, 315-316; Ruuskanen, et al. 2013, 23; Sivesind & Selle, 2010, 96-99; Helander & Pikkala, 1999.)

Within this context, concerns have been raised that associations are becoming little more than extensions of the public sector or private sector, and are thus losing their own identity and their role of advocacy and interest representation (see on the Finnish context e.g. Möttönen & Niemelä, 2005, 86). These issues have also long been raised in international scholarship on civic participation, where less commitment to voluntary organizations and decreasing volunteerism is feared to have problematic implications for democratic politics (Verba, et al. 1995; Rosenstone & Hansen, 1993; Putnam, 2000; Skocpol, 2003).

From the point of view of a functioning democracy, it is important that different groups in the society have mechanisms to make their own voices heard and have influence on collective decisions and judgements (Mouffe, 2000 & 2013; Warren, 2000, 61). In the relevant literature concerns have been raised on how increasing immigration will affect liberal democracies if immigrants continue organizing along closed ethnic lines and forming their own closed communities, which is feared to lead to a segregation of society (see eg. Putnam, 2007). The problems of such isolated communities have been documented lately in many societies. However, previous research on migrant associations shows that migrants’ organizing patterns are very varied and multi-faceted, and depend on the context and opportunities in the country of settlement (see eg. Koopmans et al., 2005; Jacobs & Tillie, 2004; Morales & Ramiro, 2011; Boccagni & Pilati, 2015; Eggert, 2011; Bloemraad & Ramakrishnan, 2008; Yurdakul, 2006; Penna & Tillie, 2001). In the context of rising numbers of newcomer migrants entering Europe, the issue of migrants’ inclusion in European societies, including their civic and political participation, is a burning one. Europe-wide there has been an increase in the number of asylum applications since the year 2012, peaking in 2015 with around 1.3 million asylum applications (Eurostat, 2016). At the same time, migration has become a heated political topic and throughout Europe one can witness the rise of extreme right parties in politics, and the mobilization of xenophobic, racist and islamophobic groups in civil society.

This has created an extremely complex situation whereby newcomers face increasing hostility, resulting in mistrust between migrant communities and...
native populations. In the current public discussion, integration of migrants refers to a large extent to assimilation of the culture and ‘habits’ of the receiving society without any regard for participation in the society. On the other hand, discussions on the integration of migrants center around labor market issues and integration is often equated with simply having a job – even if low-paid and precarious. In this article, a novel approach to integration is taken by understanding integration as a process of mutual dual trust-building between native Finns and migrants, in which civil society may have an important role. Moreover, integration is perceived as a two-way process in which not only migrants adjust, but Finnish society changes due to the increasing involvement of migrants in the public sphere.

The importance of understanding immigrants’ civic and political participation relates to the integration issue. It is essential to find out whether migrants’ organizing and participation will revitalize the civic ideals and participation of Western democracies, or whether migrants’ lack of recognition and problematic access to resources will continue and add to the political stratification in the future (ibid., 35). To a large extent, the political potential, meaning here the advocacy role of migrant associations, depends on their visibility, which in turn relates to the organizational capacity and resources that these associations have on hand and that allow them to function. This article analyses, through a longitudinal case study on two well-established migrant organizations in Finland, what their identity is, how it has changed and what has contributed to their capacity to function. The analysis is conducted in the context of the Finnish third sector and its recent move towards hybridization. The article will respond to the question of whether, in the process of cooperating more with the public sector, migrant organizations can still carry out their advocacy function.

Third sector hybridization as a theoretical framework

The concept of hybridization relates to the discussion on the changing role of the third sector vis-à-vis the public sector and the private sector. In these discussions, new expectations from the third sector, and their consequences, are raised. There are many different approaches to identifying and analyzing hybridization. First is an approach that analyses the position of hybrid organizations which lie between different sectors (Billing, 2010, 56; Demone & Gibelman, 1989). The second approach stems from the idea that all three sectors (private, public and third) are different in nature and are based on different logics of functioning (Billing, 2010, 56–57), but still have dynamic relations with each other. Seen from this perspective, hybrid organizations have elements from other sectors, but still remain attached to their root sector. Third sector organizations become hybrid mainly due to changes in the public and private sectors (Rhodes & Donnelly-Cox, 2014, 1635). The third approach claims that hybridization has replaced altogether the separate sectors, and that the system of welfare service production is by nature hybrid (Billing, 2010, 56).

In this line of thinking the third sector is replaced by something called the third space, which is characterized by care and a voluntary ethos (Van Til, 2000; Brandsen et al., 2005). The main reason this kind of hybrid form of welfare production appears is change at the state level: privatization of services, decentralization, and liberating markets regarding public services (Brandsen & Karre, 2008, 2).

In the relevant research literature on the third sector and hybridity, concerns have been raised that increasing pressures on the third sector to become service providers have resulted in the third sector losing its originality and its democratic role in interest representation and advocacy (Haugh & Kitson, 2007 in Billis, 2010, 10). In this article, the hybridization in organizations is analyzed as a process in which organization leaders and their networks have a significant role. The article aims at analyzing the consequences of increasing hybridity in the third sector in terms of the advocacy and interest representation role of organizations.

In order to do this, it is essential to consider the ownership issue in hybrid organizations (Billis, 2010, 53–54). David Billis (2010, 53–54) argues that in associations, compared to public and private sector organizations “the gap between formal, active and principle owners may be small”. But even in small associations, differentiation between formal, active and principle owners can be made. Formal owners are those members “who stay in the shadows”, active owners are members who are active on a committee or a board for example, and principal owners are “a core group of principal owners ‘who everybody knows’ and who are ‘the key players in the defining moments of the group’s history’”. Analyzing the hybridization process of organizations through the relations between principal owners, referred to here as leaders, and public sector officials at the micro-level, it is possible to grasp the essential issue of how well third sector organizations have been able to retain their original mission. In the coming chapters, two organizations that have developed ongoing working relations with Finnish authorities and have developed organizational capacity so that they are well-established organizations with paid staff and their own venues are analyzed with the help of the concept of hybridization – with a specific focus on the ownership issue through considering the leaders’ role in building relations with public sector officials.

Context in which the organizations operate

Recent Changes in the Finnish Third Sector

Traditionally, the Finnish third sector has been defined as a Nordic welfare state-model which is characterized by consensus and collaboration between the state and civil society. It has a hierarchical organizational structure of associations from central organizations to leagues and local associations, a relatively low level of service provision and a relatively high share of voluntary work in organizations (Salamon & Anheier, 1998). In Finland, civil society has traditionally had an important role in the political decision-making system. In this kind of corporate model, the state encourages collective organization as a channel for interest aggregation and political participation, by providing support for nationwide and democratically-run associations, so that public institutions can interact and negotiate with them (Schofer & Fourcade-Gourinchas, 2001).

This civil society-state relationship has been experiencing changes since the severe recession of the early 1990s. In the 1990s, due to the recession, services provided by the state started to be transferred to the third sector. During this time a New Public Management ideal also started to be implemented in state administration, leading to different models of private-public partnerships and the process of privatization of services. In this process the third sector has become characterized by service provision as part of the public service provision (as a partner), for-profit activities, which however are not based on profit distribution (instead profits are to be used to develop the organization), the hiring of paid staff to carry out organizations’ activities (paid staff organizing voluntary work) and as having the role of hiring those people who cannot work full time in
general labor markets, such as the disabled. All this has led to the creation of different kinds of organizational forms, such as social enterprises and corporations. (Möttönen & Niemelä, 2005.)

**Finnish Migrant Organizations in the third sector**

Following the increasing immigration in Finland since the 1990s, immigrants have been active in setting up their own voluntary associations and thus have become new actors in the Finnish third sector, contributing to diversification of the Finnish civil society. Often the role of immigrant associations has been seen in maintaining their culture and language of origin as “tools” for implementing multicultural policies. However, immigrants’ participation in the third sector in Finland is varied and not limited to the maintenance of the language and culture of origin. People of migrant origin in Finland are active in different kinds of associations, ranging from women’s, youth and sport associations to development cooperation organizations and advocacy networks and organizations set up and run by native Finns. (Pyykkönen, 2007; Pirkkalainen, 2013.)

The representative body concerning ethnic relations in Finland, the Advisory Board for Ethnic Relations working under the auspices of the Ministry of Justice, also functions on the basis of associations. This Advisory Board engages in dialogue with ethnic, cultural and religious minorities, immigrants, public authorities, NGOs and political parties. It brings together migration experts from local, regional and national levels, including public officials, politicians and civil society representatives. On the current board there are representations from the largest ethnic groups in Finland: Russian, Somali, Kurdish and Afghan associations (ETNO website).

Since the implementation of the first Act of Integration (1999/493) immigrant associations have been seen as actors in the field of integration policies and measures. This first Act of Integration highlighted the role of immigrants’ own networks in the process of integration into Finnish society. The Act of Integration was amended in 2011 (L1386/2010) and the participation and belonging of immigrants in Finnish society were defined as the key aims of the law. It was also highlighted that cooperation with associations in the third sector in integration measures is important. Following the amended Act of Integration the State’s Integration Programme (Ministry of Employment and the Economy, 2012) highlighted the important role of immigrants’ own associations as partners in integration programs, and also recognized associations themselves as important “spaces” to achieve integration (ibid., 20).

The overall context of the Finnish third sector, and specific policies within the immigrant integration field, has opened up new opportunities for migrant organizations to work with authorities. In this way, migrant organizations have the potential to develop their activities and become well established, and can afford to hire paid staff to carry out their activities. In this regard there are opportunities for the employment of immigrants as well as an increased political advocacy role of associations. However, critical issues in these hybridization processes remain: if migrant associations become only contractors of public sector integration measures, the advocacy role may be diminished and associations may only become an extended “hand” of official integration policies. This kind of collaboration between the public and third sectors may then fail to contribute to the integration of migrants. This article, through a case study analysis of two migrant organizations which have managed to develop activities to the professional level and collaborate with the public sector, aims to point out critical points that need to be taken into consideration in the future when aiming to ensure the strong, authentic existence of migrant associations in the third sector.

**Data and Methods**

In this article, a case study method is used and two large migrant organizations have been selected as cases. These two organizations represent still rare cases of migrant origin organizations which have managed to establish themselves in the Finnish third sector, and have a trusted relationship with different authorities, have paid staff and their own office venue. Thus these cases are not representative of all migrant organizations in Finland – a field which comprises a wide variety of different forms of organizing and represents different interests. In general, most migrant organizations in Finland are small and voluntarily run, and many suffer from lack of resources affecting negatively their (political) visibility (see eg. Tillikainen & Mohamed, 2013). However, some organizations, such as the two analyzed in this article, have managed to transform themselves into well-functioning groups within the Finnish third sector. It is important to understand what is behind the processes whereby organizations become established, secure resources and take different collaborative forms with the public and/or private sector; and what the different power relations between authorities and associations are. Such an understanding allows us to be more aware of whose voice is heard in the organizations and whose interests they serve. Thus, in this article, reasons for focusing only on two organizations as case studies relate to the fact that it is possible to conduct more in-depth analysis at the micro level on relations between leaders and authorities to find out whose voice is heard in organizations. Second, it is feasible to follow organizations in different time periods, in other words to focus on processes from the longitudinal perspective. (See Yin, 2003, 40-42, Aalton & Heilmann, 2009.)

Following the principles of the case study method, different empirical data have been collected for the purpose of the analysis in this article (Yin, 2003, 97). The main data for the analysis consists of nine thematic interviews with organizations’ leaders, their partners in the public sector, the funding institution and a native Finnish organization supporting migrant organizations. All of the interviews were conducted in Finnish, as all the interviewees were fluent in the language. The interviews were recorded with the interviewees’ consent and later all recorded interviews were transcribed.

In order to strengthen the longitudinal perspective of the case study method, organization leaders were interviewed on two occasions: first in the year 2009 when the leaders were doing their work in organizations voluntarily and had a daytime job outside the organizations, and second in the year 2014 when both of the organization leaders were working as executive directors and were being remunerated as such. The interviews in 2014 were conducted with officials in the cities of Vantaa and Helsinki from the Youth and Multicultural services with whom organizations work, and with the funding body the Finnish Slot Machine Association (RAY) and an organization providing mentoring to migrant associations (Järjestöhautomo). In addition to all the interviews, text material on organizations has been collected from websites and reports which were provided by authorities and organizations, and this has been used as secondary material to back up the analysis of the interviews.

During the writing process of this article, the necessary
ethical principles and procedures have been recognized and dealt with, in particular in regard to the rights of individuals to privacy, personal data protection, and protection from emotional, physical or any other kind of harm (Fontana & Frey, 2000, 15). The writing process also honored the requirement of informed consent and dialogue with research subjects. As part of the interviews, the interviewees were asked for permission to write about cases by using the names of the organizations. However, it was agreed that the names of individuals would not be mentioned in the texts. The article draft was sent for a round of comments to all interviewed individuals before publishing, and their consent for publishing was requested.

Analysis of the interviews was performed in the light of the longitudinal approach and the focus was put on the organizations’ trajectories and issues that had contributed to hybridization of the organizations. In this analysis the views of the leaders and their collaboration partners in the cities were examined. Themes relating to hybridization in terms of organizations’ set-up, funding, activities, ownership and networks were identified in interviews, and analyzed with the help of the concept of hybridization, following the theorizations of David Billis (2010). The analysis of the migrant organizations, third sector hybridization and its relation to integration of newcomer migrants was similarly based on themes arising from the interviews. The data collection benefitted greatly from having one researcher who had a migrant background, as this contributed to the trust-building between researchers and interviewees. Analysis also benefitted from a dialogue between the three authors having different backgrounds: one with a migrant background and the others being native Finns, thus experiencing the Finnish third sector from different perspectives.

**Analysis of Trajectories: Cases R3 and Kanava Organizations towards Hybridization**

Here in the analysis section the first aim is to describe the process of organizational development of two case organizations from voluntary based peer-support groups into well-functioning organizations and to analyze the factors that have contributed to development processes. The analysis in this regard centers around the theme of trust-building at the micro level between associations’ leaders and authorities, which enables the analysis to tackle the issue of ownership in case organizations. The second aim is to analyze how the hybridization process of organizations has affected their role and to what extent those case organizations can still be seen as “spaces” for expressing the voice of minorities in Finnish society – an important democratic function of associations, which is often feared to be lost when the third sector becomes a service provider.

**Hybridization Process of Organizations: Multifaceted Trust-building**

“R3 The immigrant youth support association” was founded in 2003 by a young Somali male, who got the idea of setting up an association when studying in high school where he witnessed considerable problems of other young migrant students. This motivated him to start helping them as a peer, as he described:

This [idea to form an association] started at the student housing complex, in which I lived. Then I noticed that the other immigrant youths were not doing well, that they had problems and that they would be kicked out from the flats because they did everything apart from study. Then I started thinking of ways to help those youths, so that things didn’t get worse. Then I got the idea to set up the association that could help the youths. (Representative of R3 organization, interviewed in Helsinki on 2009)

Kanava Youth ry, another case organization was established in 1990s and similar to R3 was started more as an informal peer support group, which later on was formalized into a registered association (ry). The idea to start the activities of Kanava grew from a concern about Somali youth marginalization and gang formation. It started in collaboration with mosques, Somali youths themselves, and teachers in schools where there were Somali pupils. Both organizations analyzed in this article have roots in active individual leaders who initially had a trusted, legitimate position among the ethnic community in Finland through links relating to Somalia. In one case, trust was formed through a leader’s regional affiliation with a certain region of Somalia/Somaliland, and in another case through the position of other leaders in a mosque in Finland. From this grassroots position, leaders started looking for resources from outside, from Finnish authorities.

In the case of Kanava, they worked for a few years as a non-formalized group, and finally registered their association in 1998. Registration was related to the fact that the authorities, who had seen the efficient work Kanava was doing among migrant youth, asked them to become registered so that they could support financially their work. A leader of Kanava, one of the establishers, described their start as follows:

I was one of the establishers of the association. I was young at that time and we did not know where to go in Finland, we did not know about youth work, activities, and most of us were in the same school in Helsinki. We wanted to spend time together and do something. Then we went to see a Finnish organization, the Finnish Red Cross and told them that we want to organize something, and asked how to do it. A person in that organization then directed us to the youth work section of the city of Helsinki. We then found the Youth Department in Helsinki from which we have received support. (Representative of Kanava Youth, interviewed in Helsinki, on September, 2014)

What was (and still is) common between both of the case organizations, is the strong motivation and commitment of the leaders based on the concern about youth marginalization and gender equality beyond borders. Both organizations have activities for youths in Finland and in Somalia/ Somaliland. The Youth Department at the city of Helsinki, collaborating with Kanava Youth, described this effort of leaders as the key factor behind the organizations’ success:

The most important thing about Kanava from its start has been that they had a strong motivation and they wanted to make the organization work. They also had a strong vision of what they wanted to do. They came to us with this enthusiasm, vision and motivation, slowly we got to know each other and we have supported them along the way. They had ambitions, and this has paid off: now they have a very good center for activities - that is awesome. I think many may wonder how that is possible. But it is possible because they have worked hard, had motivation, visions, ambition and they have networked well. (Official working in Youth Department, city of Helsinki, interviewed on September, 2014)

In both of the associations, those people who were setting up associations are still in the leadership position of the
organizations. Thus it is rather easy in these associations to distinguish those who have established associations and are now in a leadership position; they are people who everybody knows and continue to be key players in defining the direction of the associations (Billis, 2010, 53-54). In both associations, having their status as registered voluntary associations forming certain administrative structures, they have a board consisting of active members of associations who are responsible for overall decision-making. In addition, there is a wide range of regular members of associations. These members “stay in the shadows” regarding the ownership and decision-making of the associations (ibid.).

In addition to the efforts of leaders as the principle owners of associations, networks are essential in making organizations work in the long run. In both case organizations, even before formal registration, created links and networks with authorities working on migrant youth issues had already been created. After formal registration, project funding became possible for both of the associations. After the first smaller project funding, both organizations gathered administrative experience and were trusted by the funders to handle the funds well. This, after some years, led to the situation where both of the organizations received major funding from different sources (cities and the Slot Machine Association) and were able to hire staff with these resources: in the case of R3, this turning point happened in 2010 and in the case of Kanava in 2005.

Increasing hybridization in terms of resourcing third sector organizations to become service providers means that the third sector becomes a sector for paid jobs. Traditionally in Finland the third sector has been mostly based on voluntary work and its role as an employment provider has been very minimal (see section 3.1). However, this is slowly changing, as these two organizations’ examples indicate.

The reality in the third sector, however, is that many paid jobs are based on temporary projects, which makes them precarious. It is challenging for voluntary associations to reach the point of receiving stable funding. For both of the case organizations it has taken much time, effort and commitment to reach this point, and one of the key points in acquiring resources is gaining access to the support systems from the administrative point of view, and the building of trusted relations with authorities – something which develops slowly and through inter-personal communication via leaders and authorities. The leader of the R3 organization describes the process as follows:

It is difficult for many associations to get funding at the beginning. But I believe that when you get the first funding, deal with it well and you get good feedback from funding institution, this also affects other funding institutions. Our aim from the beginning has been to lean towards the city authorities; this was our starting point. Now we get strong support from the city (of Vantaa) and we can apply for funds for all kinds of projects. In general, the trust towards the migrant organizations has been low, but now they (city authorities) trust us, and listen to us and our ideas. This point was reached when we proved that we deal with administration and funds well, we use external audits, and we have always used the funds properly for activities they have been declared; this is an important point.

(R3 leader, interviewed in Vantaa, on September, 2014)

What has also contributed to the development of administrative capacity of R3 is their active participation in the association mentoring project run by one native Finnish NGO. R3 has taken part in training since 2009, and in the mentoring process the organization has been supported in realizing its own vision. This support structure has importantly affected the organizations’ capacity to access major funding. In the case of Kanava, administrative capacity has developed through close contacts with the Youth Department in the city of Helsinki, more specifically, the civil society support section. The leader of Kanava-organization describes their relations as follows:

The Youth department of the city of Helsinki was the first place we went to, and was where we got the idea of how to carry our youth work. People there have guided us, they have understood us and have had an attitude that they genuinely want to help us. It has been essential for us to have this kind of trusted contact that you can go to and request help, and go if you are struggling with something. We have this trusted relationship with them, and we can also talk about difficult issues. We can tell them our thoughts and we can also propose initiatives to them; they will listen to us. They are not only funding us, but also allowing us to be involved in their processes and inviting us to different kinds of hearings, prior to decision-making about youth issues. (Representative of Kanava youth, interviewed in Helsinki, on September, 2014)

The city authorities’ willingness to support migrants’ associations reflects to some extent the participatory agenda of the society. There is an increasing tendency of the public sector to reach out to the third sector in service provision, for example, even in the case of Finland where traditionally the public sector has carried the full responsibility for all service provision (see section 3.1). Partly, this has to do with the tightening budgets of municipalities, which makes them more open to looking for alternative ways of providing services. Previous research has also stated that there are other, more ideologically related reasons beyond the financial issues that have contributed to increasing collaboration between the public sector and the third sector. Third sector input in service provision is sometimes seen as guaranteeing the quality of services and as increasing citizens’ options. The third sector is generally perceived as being more flexible towards the changes in the context it works in compared to the public sector. Specific expertise, customer-friendly services and direct access to certain groups are generally seen as strengths of the third sector (Wijkström, 2011, 40; Saukkonen, 2013, 24).

Regarding the organizations analyzed here, the public sector strongly perceives and appreciates their capability to provide services to groups which would be difficult for the authorities to reach. Both of the organizations work with migrant youth doing a wide range of activities to help prevent marginalization of migrant youths and to facilitate their access to the labor market. Both case organizations have paid specific attention from the beginning to reaching out to migrant youths at grassroots levels in localities they work in by doing street patrolling, which has significantly contributed to the creation of trust in the organization by migrant youth, as has been argued by the leader of the Kanava organization:

Street patrolling was very important at the beginning, when we started in the 1990s. It created a lot of contacts with those youths who needed help, and it was an important way of creating trust with them. (Representative of Kanava Youth, interviewed in Helsinki on September, 2014)

In addition to street patrolling, both organizations have continuously paid attention to creating contacts with youths.
and also giving them a say on associations’ activities. The leader of the R3 organization described this as follows:

It is important for organizations that they see what’s going on in youth society, and to give opportunities to those young people themselves who want to develop new ideas and activities. So creating contact and trusted relations with young people is very important, and one of the keys to our work.
(R3 leader, interviewed in Vantaa on September, 2014)

An openness and inclusiveness of organizations to many linguistic and ethnic groups have in part contributed to the trust between authorities and case organizations. Both case organizations state very clearly that they are not ethnically-based organizations, although leadership in both organizations is in the hands of one ethnic group, ie, Somalis, and in particular at the beginning, both organizations’ activities were targeted at the Somali community in Finland. Both organizations identify themselves as Finnish organizations, also regarding the language used during their daily work. The leader of the R3 organization described their situation as follows:

One important thing in building trust is to be open. We are working openly and everyone can come and see our activities. We have invited people from the city council to see our activities. We also want to create a larger model of working not focusing only on a certain ethnic group, but all people. For example, we have native Finns working in our organization; we have Roma people working here. So we don’t want to limit the activities to those of just a migrant organization. (R3 leader, interviewed on September, 2014)

Furthermore, the Kanava organization today has a wider range of members than just Somalis; Turkish, Ethiopians, Oromos and native Finns are also part of the organization’s activities, such as sport. They identify themselves as a Finnish youth organization, as stated by the leader of Kanava in an interview conducted in 2014: “even though we have migrant background, we are purely a youth organization based in Helsinki, and our official language is Finnish”.

Both case organizations have come a long way, from voluntary-based informal gatherings, to well-established youth organizations in the Finnish third sector, employing paid staff to run activities and enjoying close working relations with city authorities in Helsinki and Vantaa. Because of these characteristics, both organizations can be defined as hybrid organizations (Billis, 2010, 55-60). Behind this hybridization are the established trusted relations with the communities they serve; in this case not only youths of Somali origin but also young people from other ethnic and linguistic backgrounds, with the city administration working on youth and multicultural issues. These organizations have various forms of funding; R3 is currently running its activities with the project funding from RAY and the city of Vantaa, but they are also piloting service provision with the city of Vantaa in providing supported housing for youths. Kanava is currently receiving stable funding from the city of Helsinki (Youth services) and the Ministry for Education and Culture, through which they are able to pay salaries of two staff members and can cover the rent of their offices. In addition to this, to a varying degree, they have different project funding. For both of the organizations, future visions are clear in the sense that they want to remain established organizations in the Finnish third sector without having to compete for the project money all the time – a common challenge facing all associations in the current “project-society”.

According to Billis (2010, 59) hybridization of the third sector organizations takes many forms, happens at different levels and to different “depths”, which, depending on the process may or may not “call into question the basic third sector identity”. Organizations hiring paid staff to carry out operational work can in many cases still be considered as “shallow hybrids” (ibid.), meaning that hybridization won’t necessarily be a threat to the original third sector identity. However, a process towards the entrenched hybridity may slowly occur both at the governance and operational levels of organizations, possibly increasing “the propensity for mission drift” (Billis 2010, 59-60).

Implications of Hybridization: What Happens to the Advocacy Function of Organizations?

Interesting questions arise in the case of the two hybrid organizations, R3 and Kanava, which have close working relations with city authorities and receive funding from them:

Has this hybridization changed the original vision and mission of the organizations? As organizations have started doing activities supported by the city authorities, have they lost their independence and thus the chance to engage in advocacy work? These are the issues dealt with in this section based on the empirical data, focusing in particular on the issue of ownership in associations.

Both case organizations have received and continue to receive support from city authorities, which value the work of associations and understand the importance of a vibrant civil society. In this regard, both of the organizations have been “lucky” to find such a support system, because in Finland there is no coherent system or strategies for public authorities on how to cooperate with civil society. Thus the collaboration forms really vary from city to city and from sector to sector, and also depend on the values and insights of the individual officials working in the public sector (Pirkkalainen, 2015, 68). In the case of Kanava, close contacts have been developed with the Youth Department in the city of Helsinki, more specifically, the civil society support section. An official working in the section describes the work of the section and relations with Kanava as well as the uniqueness of their department as follows:

We have a very different way of working with associations compared with many other public sector actors in Helsinki. In a lot of places cooperation with civil society means that on the basis of proposals they either grant or won’t grant funding, but there is no other contact. That’s why there are so many misunderstandings between associations and the public sector, especially concerning migrant associations. But we have a different situation; our way of working, our office, the Civil Society Support Section, is founded to support civil society. So we don’t do things ourselves but mainly support others who do them. The relationship with Kanava is a good example – they have come here so many times and we have discussed what is needed when they apply for funding, what kind of papers are needed, and why, so most of our cooperation has been and continues to be conversation. After discussions, we have looked together at what kind of support they would actually need. (Official working in Youth Department, city of Helsinki, interviewed on September, 2014)

In the case of R3, the youth services in Vantaa also perceive the work of associations to be very important, want to support...
civil society, and are continuously looking for new forms of collaboration with the civil society actors. Authorities in the youth services value the association’s capability to reach out to groups of people that would otherwise be difficult to reach for public authorities, such as migrant youths. The authorities want to maintain the originality of the associations and plan the collaboration from this perspective. In the case of collaboration with R3, the support has been given to activities that the association strives to implement, as was expressed by an official working in the youth services section of Vantaa:

R3 is our collaboration partner. ‘Service provider’ is too technical a term. I am a bit concerned about the service provision approach that associations are given responsibilities that don’t belong to them. Of course then, when the association itself wants it, this is okay, but we cannot force them into that role. We are working on the same thing with R3 and we constitute resources for each other. The role of the municipality cannot be such that it directs civil society from the top, but the civil society associations need an autonomous role. Their aims can be different from municipalities’ aims; otherwise, this society would never have developed, if all associations did the same thing as the municipality. Associations can see better the needs of the people, and we can develop from that perspective. Associations have the role of identifying problems, and then together we can see what can be done to solve them. (Official working at the youth services section, city of Vantaa, interviewed on September, 2014).

In the case of associations receiving support from the city authorities and arranging a wide range of activities for migrant youths, and where associations are not advocacy or interest representation groups by nature, it is interesting to analyze what kind of political/democratic role they might have.

These two organizations are not viewed by the authorities or the organizations themselves as representative of collective ethnic organizations, even if the leadership of both organizations is in the hands of people from the same ethnic group, ie. Somalis. For example, none of the case organizations, despite their visibility, is part of the Advisory Board for Ethnic Relations (see section 3.2). These two organizations have instead used a wider basis for organizing and direct their activities towards migrant youths in general, without any focus on ethnicity or specific languages, as described in the previous section.

Despite the lack of advocacy as an official aim of the organizations, both of the organizations, via their leaders, have a role of advocacy in the Finnish society in the sense that both organizations aim to keep the issues relating to migrant youth, such as anti-racism, anti-discrimination, anti-marginalization on the agenda. In this regard, these two migrant youth organizations can be seen as actors in “the politics of presence” when they voice out concerns of the migrant youth, “bring them recognition as a group, and to raise their plight as a political issue” (Rosanvallon, 2011, 192). Previous studies on immigrant organizations also claim that even organizations not formed officially for political purposes can have an important role in political processes, through advocacy or by bringing policy information to administrative agencies or elected officials’ attention (Bloemraad, 2006; Bloemraad & Ramakrishnan, 2008; de Graauw, 2008; Boccagni & Pilati, 2015).

Kanava ry and R3 ry’s mechanisms for keeping the issue of migrant youths on the agenda vary from concrete project work tackling issues that migrant youths face, to adopting a direct advocacy role where organizations and their leaders make initiatives to authorities and are invited to take part in decision-making processes at the city level. This is how the leader of R3 described their role when asked “Do you feel that your organization has a role in advocacy?”

Yes, I would say that in our organization we want to make change in society. But the problem is that we are “swallowed” by the projects. We do a lot of work with migrant youths, to make them part of this society, so we concentrate on working in the field. Needless to say there is some sort of advocacy involved when working at this level, too. To help migrant youths and make them part of this society means changing the society. (R3 leader interviewed in Vantaa on September, 2014)

Kanava ry perceives its role directly as an advocacy group due to its ability to propose initiatives to authorities, as described by the leader of the organization:

Yes, we dare to voice our opinions and make initiatives to authorities; through this we can advocate changes. We feel that we are a strong youth organization in Helsinki and also have a role in advocacy. We also try to influence the authorities, and to influence more widely society about issues relating to migrant youth, and to work against racism and marginalization and radicalization. (Representative of Kanava youth, interviewed in Helsinki on September, 2014).

Similar to what Bills argues (2010, 59-62), in these organizations that have their establishments as leaders, even the stable hybridization of the organization does not jeopardize the initial vision and ideology of the association. According to Bills (ibid., 62) in hybrid third sector organizations “paid staff may also be part of the active membership by similarly (to other active members) demonstrating their genuine commitment to the organizational purposes through their freely given and un-coerced contributions to the operations and governance of the organization”. This means that in practice, paid staff may provide some other resources or undertake voluntary work as well, as has been the clear case with both of the organizations (see below).

In both of these organizations close collaboration with city authorities allows them to remain independent and to have their own voice. Following the line of thinking suggested by Mark Warren (2000), associations, when they become partners with the public sector or private sector can still have a democratic function and maintain their originality. For Warren (2000, 57) civil society is a sphere in “which associative relations are dominant”, and distinctive, but related to the spheres of the state (mediated by legal coercion) and markets, which are mediated by money. Associative relations are characterized by voluntarism, where the binding force is “chosen normative allegiance rather than other kinds of force” (ibid., 98). Thus, both of the case study organizations’ leaders are the carriers of associative relations (ibid., 57). Even though they currently get paid by their respective organizations, they are driven by other motives than money and power, mainly by voluntarism and commitment to the goals of the organizations and voluntary work in addition to paid work, as stated by the Executive director of the Kanava organization:

I am currently working as paid executive director, but I still do not see Kanava as a service provider. In addition to paid work, we all here do voluntary work. It is the voluntary nature of the work, the non-profit nature that motivates me.
If you work in a firm, you have certain tasks and office hours and then you go home when you have done your tasks. But this (work) lives with me all the time. Now I go home after the working day, but if I am being called by someone who needs help, or if I see someone needing help, I go and help. So I don’t really have office hours here. (Representative of Kanava youth, interviewed in Helsinki on September, 2014)

Similarly, the Executive director of R3 finds the utmost motivation for the work comes not from money or power, but “from the heart”, as he stated:

This job means a lot to me, it’s a job I love, it’s in my heart. Even if now I get paid for this, it is a job I have done and continue to do with all my heart. Now that I get paid I can do more and work more with our clients, to help them, and I can develop more the work of the organization (R3 leader interviewed in Vantaa on September, 2014).

Conclusions and practical implications

This article asked what implications hybridization of the third sector have on the role of organizations as the voice of immigrants, which is an essential aspect of the integration process of migrants into the new society. Through using a longitudinal case study method, two migrant youth organizations in Finland were analyzed in order to find out how and through what mechanisms they have reached organizational capacity and legitimacy in Finnish society. By analyzing the organizations’ hybridization processes, the aim was to find out whose voice is heard in these organizations in the context of increased collaboration with public authorities. In Finland, the official integration policies emphasize the important role of migrants’ own organizations in the integration process. A topical question is the role that migrant organizations should, and will, be taking in the processes of integration into the host society. Concerns have been raised that due to hybridization of the third sector, migrant organizations will become mere contractors implementing public sector integration measures without having the possibility to speak out about their own genuine issues and concerns. If the hybridization of the third sector means outsourcing services only to the private sector based on financial competitiveness or outsourcing to large professional Finnish NGOs, smaller migrant organizations may be left out and marginalized. Thus, in order to improve the visibility of migrant associations, there needs to be a sensitive approach by the authorities towards the migrant associations; something similar to what was described in this article on case organizations. Moreover, in order for migrant associations to be able to carry out a political incorporation role for migrants, the autonomy to represent authentic voices of migrants has to be guaranteed. In increasing hybridization of the third sector, there is a danger that migrant associations may become just an extension of the official integration policies of Finland without having the chance to remain as authentic channels for different migrants’ voices and interests and an integral element of the functioning democracy.

In the cases of the two organizations analyzed in this article, the process towards hybridization leans towards the process of trust-building, in which leaders of organizations have had a key role. First at the setting phase of organization, trust was created among “the migrants’ own community”, ie. Somali youth. From this point on, organizations slowly built trust with authorities working in city administration, as well as with other migrant youths in the local areas the organizations worked in.

The reasons behind the increasing hybridization of the organizations relate on the first hand to the structural context in Finland: as the state and cities are under economic pressure, the third sector is expected to fill the gaps and direct activities towards service provision. Moreover, Finnish integration policies emphasize participation and the role of migrants’ own associations. In these cases, both organizations provide services to migrant youth groups, many of which would otherwise be unreachable by the authorities. Therefore, the authorities value the work of these kinds of organizations that have legitimacy among the groups they claim to represent.

It is argued here that increasing visibility also brings higher political visibility to associations, which is essential in the process of immigrant integration into European society. In other words, because of the organizational capacity and support from the public sector, organizations have gained visibility and a legitimized position in the society and can thus carry out (an implicit) political role. Both organizations analyzed in this article have a role in advocacy in terms of keeping the migrant youth issues on the agenda through their leaders and their trusted collaboration relations with authorities. These organizations are examples of cases where the authorities have supported associations in realizing their own vision. In other words, in these cases, ownership of organizations and their activities has remained, despite the growing contracts with the public sector, in the hands of the associations’ leaders, in Billis’ terms the “principal owners”.

Third sector hybridization in the case of providing migrant associations with resources with which they can build strong organizations and implement activities may facilitate, in the best case, the trust-building role of migrant associations between native Finns and newcomer migrants, and may increase the political inclusion of migrants. It has been argued in this article that it is important to understand integration as a process of trust-building between native Finns and migrants, instead of relying on a rather technical understanding of integration as migrants entering labor markets or as migrants’ cultural assimilation. The integration of migrants into the Finnish society should be seen as a process in which the migrants themselves have a strong agency, and not as a process in which migrants are “objects” in the margins to be integrated. It is thus important to recognize the potential of migrants in contributing to the third sector and civic participation, which can have ramifications in wider democratic politics in terms of migrants bringing in new ways of engagement and bringing new issues to the agenda, and in so doing “reinvigorating the civic ideals of Western democracies” (Bloemraad and Ramakrishnan, 2008, 35).
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E-urheilun johtaminen –
Lähtölaaukous empiiriselle tutkimukselle
suomalaisesta näkökulmasta

Minna Kallinen-Kuisma
Tommi Auvinen

Abstract
Esports – as a rapidly growing
field of sport, entertainment, and
business – offers an interesting
arena for leadership research. In
this qualitative study, we focus
on Finnish esports leadership,
considering both the definitions as
well as the leadership processes in
the professional electronic gaming.
Our aim is to chart the terrain
and increase understanding of
the theme so far little empirically
studied, and to open views for
further research. This study can
be seen as an opening for further
empirical research on Finnish
esports leadership. Due to scarcity
of scientific discussions, a wider
selection of literature on leadership
research and international esports
leadership studies have been
utilized. This study aims to add
value to both practice- and theory-
oriented development of esports
education and leadership. The
empirical data consists of eight
semi-structured theme interviews
supplemented with ethnographic
observation (field diaries). In
our analysis, we describe how
esports professionals define an
esports organisation, and how the
informants perceive management
and leadership in an esports
organisation. We also discuss some
leadership-related challenges that
emerged in our data. Based on our
findings, it seems that the
esports professionals have a surprising
traditional vision on leadership and
management, yet it seems that the
emphasis is more on a family-type
leadership instead of hierarchical
and rational-oriented leadership.

Key Words: Esport, leadership,
e-leadership, digitalization,
empirical research

Johdanto
Tämän tutkimuksen aihepiiri, elektro-
ninen urheilu eli e-urheilu, on nopeasti
nouseva urheilun ja viihteen sekä myös
kansainvälisen liiketoiminnan muoto.
Aihepiirin tuoreuden sekä e-urheiluun
kohdistuvien vähätelyjen (esim. Rönkä,
2018a) vuoksi aloitamme aihepiirin kä-
sittelyn taloudellisesta näkökulmasta.

Statista mukaan ammattilaisurheilijoi-
iden määrä yli kymmenkertaistui 786
e-urheilijasta vuonna 2010 lähes 9000
urheilijaan vuonna 2015. Alan globaali
vuosituotto on kasvanut vuoden 2012
130 miljoonasta dollarista (USD) vii-
dessä vuodessa 655 miljoonaan dollarin
(noin 575 milj. EUR). Kehityksen enna-
koidaan jatkuvan nopeana ja ennuste-
den mukaan vuoteen 2021 mennessä
saavutettaisiin jo 1,65 miljardin dolla-
rin (noin 1,45 mrd EUR) vuosituotto.
Investointipankki Goldman Sachs arvioi
vuoden 2017 tuoton hieman alemmassa
565 miljoonaan euron, mutta ennako-
tuottojen kasvavan 2022 mennessä jo
3 miljardin euron. Myös kansainvälinen
yleisömäärä on kasvanut 2010-luvulla
merkittävästi, 134 miljoonasta katsojasta
vuonna 2012 281 miljoonaan katsojaa
vuonna 2016. Vuoteen 2020 mennessä
katsoja arviodaan olevan 589 miljoonaa.
(Laine, 2018; Statista, 2018.)

Kilpapelaimisesta on tullut vakavasti
ottettava professio ja e-urheilijat ovat
nousseet kansainvälisesti merkittävien
Ja seurattujen ammattiarneilijoiden piiriin.
Kansainvälisissä turnauksissa palkinnot
voivat nousta miljoooniin, ja jopa kymme-
niin miljoonin dollareihin (esim. Cym-
us, 2014; Mitchell, 2014; Dota 2, 2018)
joko kymmenen korkeatulosinta e-urheili-
jaa ansaitsee 2–3,5 miljoonan dollarin
henkilökohtaiset vuosittolot (Statista,
2018). Viime aikoina suomalaisten
eniten ansaitsevien listoille ovat nous-
seet pelin tekijät ja kehittäjät (ks. YLE,
2018a). Pelin kehittämisellä on jo Suo-
messa verrattain pitkät juuret ja vahva
kulttuuri, mutta toistaiseksi vähemmän
on uutisoitu ammattimaisista pelaajista
ja e-urheilutoiminnan ammatillistumi-
sesta. Suomestakin löytyy jo muutama


Tämän laadullisen tutkimuksen fokuksessa on suomalaisten e-urheilun johtaminen niin määritelmien kuin pelaamiseen liittyvien haasteiden kehittämiseen. Tutkimuksen tarkoitus on päättää kuitenkin, onko urheilun ja pelaamisen tasolla on saatu tarpeeksi urheilullista kokemusta ja ammatillista tutkimusta e-urheilun aloille. Tutkimuksen päämäärä on auttaa e-urheilualan ammattilaisia ja tutkijoita ymmärtämään e-urheilun asiantuntevasti ja sen kehittämiseen. (Avolio & Kahai, 2003; Avolio, 2010; Avolio, 2018; Kapiainen, 2018.)


Teoreettinen ja käsittelleellinen viitekehys

E-urheilun historia ja tulevaisuus: näyttö puuhdastelusta olympialaikais


Tutkimustemme on laadullinen ja empiirinen materiaalista, kotonaan e-urheilussa ja -kulttuurissa. Tutkimusstrategiamme on laadullinen ja empiirinen aineisto ja siten asetettu e-urheilumaailmaan laajasti. Tutkimusten mukaan virtuaalisoituminen on kuitenkin uutta, ja niitä voidaan käyttää virtuaalisoituksessa ja -kulttuurissa. Tutkimusmenetelmämme on johtajien ja tiimien käytäntöjen ymmärtämiseksi ja selittämiseksi. Tutkimuksen päämäärä on selvittää virtuaalitaajuuden käsitteen avulla, miten se vaikuttaa e-urheilukentän toimintaan ja tiimeihin.


Etnografinen havainnointiaineisto kerättiin Assembly Summer 2018 -tapahtumasta käsin kahdeksan esittelijän muistiinpanoista ja kahden urheiluasianmukaisen henkilön kokeilutiedoista sekä kahden e-urheiluammattilaisen henkilökohtaisista koodin muistiinpanoista. Etnograaffiset muistiinpanot kerättiin käsin ja vastaavasti monen ammattipelaajan uratarinan: kuinka e-urheiluammattilaiset määrittelevät e-urheiluorganisaation?

Aineistokatkelmissa pseudonymeja (managerit ja valmentajat V1 ja V2) sekä pelarajat P1-P6). Oheessa yhteydenotto teemahaastatteluihin (ally oleva Taulukko 1).


Empiirinen analyysi ja tutkimuslöydöksiä

Kaikki tie- ja valmentajat, etusijain aktiivipelaajat

Kansainvälisiin peliorgaanisointihin nähden ero on suuri paitsi järjestäytymisessä, erityisesti urheilussa. Suomalaisten peliorgaanisoiden talous on vielä varsin pieni, etenkin suhteutettuna lajin suurtekoisuuksiin. Organisaatiot on kattavina työntekijöinä työryhmöiksi ja omalle toimeentulolle. Kaikkia tärkeimmät resurssit ja markkinointi on organisaatiossa monen eri aikakaudella. Pelorogrammaatikkoja on ollut mm. Suomessa, mutta näistä organisaatioista on puolet taloudellisesti moniarkistettu ja näistä organisaatioista on myös ollut osa myös lajihallinnollisia ja markkinointialojen. 

Kansainvälisiin peliorgaanisointihin nähden ero on suuri paitsi järjestäytymisessä, erityisesti urheilussa. Suomalaisten peliorgaanisoiden talous on vielä varsin pieni, etenkin suhteutettuna lajin suurtekoisuuksiin. Organisaatiot on kattavina työntekijöinä työryhmöiksi ja omalle toimeentulolle. Kaikkia tärkeimmät resurssit ja markkinointi on organisaatiossa monen eri aikakaudella. Pelorogrammaatikkoja on ollut mm. Suomessa, mutta näistä organisaatioista on puolet taloudellisesti moniarkistettu ja näistä organisaatioista on myös ollut osa myös lajihallinnollisia ja markkinointialojen. 

Kansainvälisiin peliorgaanisointihin nähden ero on suuri paitsi järjestäytymisessä, erityisesti urheilussa. Suomalaisten peliorgaanisoiden talous on vielä varsin pieni, etenkin suhteutettuna lajin suurtekoisuuksiin. Organisaatiot on kattavina työntekijöinä työryhmöiksi ja omalle toimeentulolle. Kaikkia tärkeimmät resurssit ja markkinointi on organisaatiossa monen eri aikakaudella. Pelorogrammaatikkoja on ollut mm. Suomessa, mutta näistä organisaatioista on puolet taloudellisesti moniarkistettu ja näistä organisaatioista on myös ollut osa myös lajihallinnollisia ja markkinointialojen. 

Kansainvälisiin peliorgaanisointihin nähden ero on suuri paitsi järjestäytymisessä, erityisesti urheilussa. Suomalaisten peliorgaanisoiden talous on vielä varsin pieni, etenkin suhteutettuna lajin suurtekoisuuksiin. Organisaatiot on kattavina työntekijöinä työryhmöiksi ja omalle toimeentulolle. Kaikkia tärkeimmät resurssit ja markkinointi on organisaatiossa monen eri aikakaudella. Pelorogrammaatikkoja on ollut mm. Suomessa, mutta näistä organisaatioista on puolet taloudellisesti moniarkistettu ja näistä organisaatioista on myös ollut osa myös lajihallinnollisia ja markkinointialojen.
Mihän toimin vähän niinku manaerina siinä, eli johdan, johon sitä sainkin jollain tasolla. Sekin vielä vähän hakee muotoaan, kun siinä on myös valmentaja, esimerkiksi meillä Toni Luhtapuro, kenin tehtävä on myöskin jollain tavalla johtaa sitä hommaa – pitää poikia vähä aisoissa ja pelillisesti sitä johtaa sitä hommaa. Ja siten on vielä pelin sisäinen johtaja eli in-game-leader, kuka sitten pelitilanteessa ja servulla johtaa siellä, ja se kertoa taktiikoita ja kuka ja kenen pitää tehdä mitäkin. Kylläähän siinä aina tulee sitä ristiriitaa, että kuka sitten vastaa missäkin osa-alueesta, ehkä eniten just Tonin ja mun välillä, kuka nyt on vastuussa tästä ja tästä asiasta, vaikka pelaajiin niiden velvollisuuksiin liittyen. Et kyllä se hakee vielä jonkin verran muotoaan ... eniten myös pelaajien osalta, että se ammattilainen haluaisi laskea. (LS)


...tärkein henkilö siinä porukassa on aina GM eli kiltainen tarkastaja ja roolituksen merkitys menestyksen kannalta kiteytyy peliä oikeaan suuntaan ja valita oikeat strategiat. Kommuni...laske, jotta pelaajat ymmärtävät tulevat hyvin selkeästi, että sitä tehdään, että sitä tehdään, että sitä tehdään... (P6)

Eli se on tosi tärkeät se kommunikaatio joukkueen keskellä, et vaik sii oisit kuin hyvä in-game-leaderi niin sii et iinkuk pysty tehdä oikeita ratkaisuja, jos sun pelaajat ei anna tarpeeksi tietoisuus. Niin se on just sitä, miten se kommunikaatio vihki. Sit kummu...ni joka kuin pelaajana tarpeek siitä, että pitää pelaajaa sitä, että pelaajaa sitä, että pelaajaa sitä... (P6)

Mieltenkiintoista seurata joukkueen kommunikointia tanskalaisen valmentajan kanssa, aika yksisuuntaista, ilmeisesti meidän yksin toimintaa tässä ongelmia (vrt. edellisen haastauksen... (P2)

pelitilanteessa pelinsisäinen johtaja antaa joukkueen hyvin selkeitä ohjeita, mitä tehdään ja miten. Se on hyvä sana tarpeeksi aita jakaa peliin yhteisyn ymmärtäyksen, ja auttaa joukkueen ymmärtää, että sitä tehdään... (P2)


Millaisia johtamiseen liittyviä haasteita e-sportiin liittyvät?

Näillä haasteilla on monipuoliset mahdollisuudet, mutta tehtävänä on suunnittella sopimusta pelin kentällä ja valmentajan tehtävänä on helpottaa pelaajien kommunikointia ja ymmärtämistä. Joukkueella on monipuoliset mahdollisuudet, mutta tehtävänä on suunnittella sopimusta pelin kentällä ja valmentajan tehtävänä on helpottaa pelaajien kommunikointia ja ymmärtämistä.

Valmentajan rooli pelitilanteessa on lähinnä seurata sivusta pelaajien ymmärtämistä ja saattaa vaikuttaa suoraan pelaajien kenttämuistiinpanokatkelmiin.

http://ejbo.jyu.fi/
...vähän negatiivisesti ehdolla ollaan elektroniisessa urheilussa suhtautuut olympialisiihin, koska elektroniinen urheilu on tasa-arvoinen laji. Meillä ei ole miesten ja naisten sarjoja erikseen, vaan kaikki pelaajat samassa sarjassa ja se on toiminut. Jos mentäis yhtäkkiä olympialisiihin on meidän miellettävää liikk粻a olisikin miesten ja naisten sarja... ehkä se nähään sillä tavalla, että miten olympiaisit herättävät tässä vaiheessa tähän, me ollaan oltu tällä jo monta vuotta ja me ollaan saatu homma toimimaan hyvin ja nyt yhtäkkiä, ku meillä massiivisesti tulee yleisömää, yhtäkkiä olympialaisit liikennostuu. Ehkä se on monesti mitä mitä kuulunen, että se on vähän niinku too late, nyt ne haluu vaan kunnian taka... (V2)

Teoreettisesti ja tutkimuskentässä e-urheilua on tyypillisesti

Verrattessa e-urheilua perinteisiin urheilulajeihin, fyysisen

Mutta siis mä en mä en ite osaa sanoo, vetaisin sinä rajan et tarviisi joku pelkästä talenttia ja yhteistyökkyvyyttä, että se on yhtä niinku osin olemassa jotta... Hyvä ajatushan se olis et... (V1)

Tuoskin asemakaan suurimmaksi urheiluksi ja yhteisön

Mä en oikein itse sitä siten vältää mikä se nimi on, ehdolla siellä suurempaa merkitystä, must tuntuut et kaikil on vähän sama, on vaan muutamat henkilöt, jotka jostain syystä marisee

sillä on e-urheilua, se on e-urheilua. Mä oon aina harrastanut liikuntaa, pitäny periaatteessa nää asiat silleen erikseen et toinen on urheilua, liikuntaa missä... tulee hiki, paikat tulee kipeeksi ja näin, ja toinen on sita taas kilapelamista missä enemmänkin koettelaan mun mielestä kestävyyttä ja hermoja ja sellat niinku yhteistyökkyvyyttä (P2)

E-urheilun usein kohdattaa epäilykset urheilun muotona

...et mä en osaa sanoo, et antaa muiten ihmisten päättää sun

Mutta on mielipide tohen on kyllä se, että ku itellä se on semmonen urheilutausta kuitenkin, niin että mä en pidä sitä urheilua. Sitten toisaalta tietenki on nääntävertaukia, että onhan shakkin kiihin urheilua ja kaikke muutra tai että luokkeliaan urheilus... yhtä laila se e-urheilukin voss paljon aktivissemba monessa milleh shakkiiksi shakki. Totta kai mä oon samaa mieltä siinä, et mun mä toisaalta ite

Urheilun käsitteeseen liittyi yhtä

Vaikeavaa sitä shakkiksi shakki... ja joku sen haluaa... sitä vähän e-urheiluksi, se... vaatimuksia seuraavasti:

Mä en koskaan sillä tavalla niinku miettynä sitä, ku mä rupesin pelaamaan, että e-urheilussa tarvi olla verrattavissa mihin

Kaikki e-urheilumallitilaista pohtivat harjoittelun roolia

Jos joku sen haluaa... sitä kiihin urheilua, se... sitä... on usein niinku yhtälailla se e-urheilukin voss paljon

Urheilu ei liikutu fyysisti, että tulisi hiki esim. e-urheilussa vaaditaan... samoi juttui löytyy monesta

mutta... sitä urheilua. Sitten toisaalta... shakkiksi urheilua ja kaikke muutra tai että luokkeliaan urheiluna. Sitten toisaalta... mä en pidä

...et mä en osaa sanoo, et antaa muiten ihmisten päättää sun

...tietä että tällaisissa tilanteissa seuraavasti:

E-urheilun usein kohtaamat epäilykset urheilun muotona

...et mä en osaa sanoo, et antaa muiten ihmisten päättää sun

...tietä että tällaisissa tilanteissa seuraavasti:

Mä oon aina harrastanu liikuntaa, pitäy periaatteessa nää asiat silleen erikseen et toinen on urheilua, liikuntaa missä... tulee hiki, paikat tulee kipeeksi ja näin, ja toinen on sita taas kilapelamista missä enemmänkin коотеляan mun mielestä kestävyyttä ja hermoja ja sellat niinku yhteistyökkyvyyttä (P2)
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